NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | June 12, 1939 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10c

U.S. ARMY IS PREVENTED FROM CHECKING ON REDS!



COULD BE STAMPED OUT IN 5 MINUTES, MOSELEY TELLS DIES COMMITTEE

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The threat of Communism in the United States "ought to be handled in five minutes from the White House," Major General George Van Horn Moseley, U. S. Army, (retired) told the Dies Committee investigating un-American activities.

"The first thing I would do if I were in the White House," Gen. Moseley continued, "would be *to fire every Communist in the employ of the United States Government,* and then I would release the Army so that it could fight this enemy within our gates.

"The United States Army can make plans to fight Germany but it can't do a damned thing to protect us!"

It is recalled that the general's retirement from active Army service was attended by wide publicity for his blast against New Deal politicians. His appearance before the Dies Committee had been long deferred and much advertised. Meanwhile, the testimony of previous witnesses and rumor-mongering news reports had combined to connect his name with a reported "counter-revolution" wherein a combination of so-called patriotic groups apparently hoped to take into their own hands the rescue of America.

Contending that he had been "advertised from coast to coast" by these news reports, Gen. Moseley demanded the right to read a prepared statement which "the American people will want to hear." He was denied this opportunity. Representative Arthur D. Healy, Massachusetts Democrat, insisted that the general's remarks before the Dies Committee be restricted to answers to committee questions. This objection prevailed.

Chairman Dies, who had hoped to question Gen. Moseley a week earlier, was reported by the Associated Press as "delayed in arriving from the West Coast." Chairman Dies then announced that the general would be heard when the committee resumes hearings in August. Moseley, however, insisted upon an immediate hearing.

The general asserted that the President of the United States "ought to free the Army from the restrictions now imposed upon it . . . They have been told not to investigate anything."

"By whom?" asked Representative John J. Dempsey.

"I guess by the White House; he's the Commander-in-Chief of the Army!" was the reply.

Questioned at length upon his connection with reputedly secret counter-revolutionary groups, allegedly anti-Semitic, and particularly the German-American Bund, Gen. Moseley asserted that in his opinion:

"They (the Rightists) are trying to sustain our democracy. The others (Communists) are trying to destroy it."

General Moseley disclosed to the committee that he had warned General Malin Craig, Army Chief of Staff, of a plot to overthrow the Government and that Army Intelligence investigators had "found a serious situation."

AN ANSWER TO CRITICS OF FATHER COUGHLIN

By **BEN MARCIN**

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

SHRINE DINNER CHATS

By Father Coughlin

ON ACCOUNT OF the organ accompaniment to the last part of my broadcast last Sunday (May 28th), visitors to the Shrine of the Little Flower, assembled in the Church, were not able to hear my remarks. Neither did some thousands more whose radio stations—a half dozen in number—do not carry the second part of the broadcast. Since it was a Memorial Day message to fathers and mothers, I promised to repeat a part of it in this week's SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

(Editor's Note: Father Coughlin's broadcast of May 28th made double commemoration of the nation's Memorial Day and the Birthday of the Christian Church itself, namely, the Feast of Pentecost. Copies of the complete address may still be obtained by writing directly to Father Coughlin, Royal Oak, Michigan.)

' ' '

THE GREAT CARDINAL of the World War, Belgium's Mercier, once said:

"I was asked lately by a staff officer whether a soldier falling in a righteous cause—and our cause is such, to demonstration—is not veritably a martyr. Well, he is not a martyr in the rigorous theological meaning of the word, inasmuch as he dies in arms, whereas the martyr delivers himself, undefended and unarmed, into the hands of the executioner. But if I am asked what I think of the eternal salvation of a brave man who has consciously given his life in defense of his country's honor, and in vindication of violated justice, I shall not hesitate to reply that without any doubt, whatever, Christ crowns his military valor, and that death, accepted in this Christian spirit, assures the safety of that man's soul. *'Greater love than this no man hath,'* said our Saviour, *'than a man lay down his life for his friends.'*

"And the soldier who dies to save his brothers, and to defend the hearths and altars of his country, reaches this highest of all degrees of charity. He may not have made a close analysis of the value of his sacrifice; but must we suppose that God requires of the plain soldier in the excitement of battle the methodical precision of the moralist or the theologian? Can we who revere his heroism doubt that his God welcomes him with love?

"Christian mothers, be proud of your sons. Of all griefs, of all our human sorrows, yours is perhaps the most worthy of veneration. I think I behold you in your affliction, but erect, standing at the side of the Mother of Sorrows, at the foot of the Cross. Suffer us to offer you not only our condolence but our congratulation. Not all our heroes obtain temporal honors, but for all we expect the immortal crown of the elect. For this is the virtue of a single act of perfect charity: it cancels a whole lifetime of sins. It transforms a sinful man into a saint."

' ' '

Oh, lonely mothers and bereaved loved ones, be of good cheer:

We are quite sure
That He will give them back—bright, pure and beautiful—
We know He will but keep our own and His until we fall asleep.

We know that he does not mean
To break the strands reaching between
The Here and There.

He does not mean—though heaven be fair—
To change the spirits entering there, that they forget
The eyes upraised and wet,
The lips too still for prayer.

He will not take
The spirits which He gave, and make
The glorified so new
That they are lost to me and you.

I do believe
They will receive
Us—you and me—and be so glad
To meet us, that when most I would grow sad
I just begin to think about that gladness.

And the day
When they shall tell us all about the way
That they have learned to go—
Heaven's pathways show.

My lost, my own, and I
Shall have so much to see together by and by.

I do believe that just the same sweet face,
But glorified, is waiting in the place
Where we shall meet, if only I
Am counted worthy in that by and by.

I do believe that God will give a sweet surprise
To tear-stained, saddened eyes,
And that this His Heaven will be
Most glad, most tided through with joy for you and me,
As we have suffered most.

God never made
Spirit for spirit, answering shade for shade,
And placed them side by side—
So wrought in one, though separate mystified—
And meant to break
The quivering threads between.

When we shall wake,
I am quite sure, we shall be very glad
That for a little while we were so sad.

' ' '

The past has gone. The future still remains. Nevertheless, we the quick and living, must not forget that time has dissolved the warfare which we still must wage. We are members of the Church Militant. We are the conscript privates of the Lord. Confronting us are the hosts of chaos—social injustices trampling down the rights of labor and agriculture; the regiments of usury multiplying poverty throughout the land.

These must not pass!

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1939, by The Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks is required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, LL. D., Editorial Counsel; E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from Social Justice for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 3A June 12, 1939 No. 24

No Vacation for Pal

HELLO FOLKS:

Gracious, how fast the time flies! Why, it seems just like yesterday that I had my last little chat with you. I guess it's because I've been so very busy this past week. I've been on the go just every minute.

We had the ice cream social for the kiddies last week. Sister Francetta brought the Shrine School babies over in a nice, orderly line from school, but when they saw the ice cream and cake set out for them in the garden, that was the end of the order. Gee, they just had a grand time wading into it. The Boss enjoyed the party, too, 'n so did I. They sure are a cute bunch of youngsters.

'Course I had to keep a weather eye out for his special garden to see that they didn't hop over the little fence he had built to keep Pete and myself out. I knew that if we weren't allowed in, he certainly wouldn't want those little folks in there either. Why, that gang could dig up his baby plants faster'n lightnin'.

The party didn't last very long, but each little boy and girl went home stuffed and happy.

' ' '

Speaking 'bout the garden . . . I've elected myself guardian of it, as you know, so that gives me another job and another title. "Guardian of the Garden," that's me.

We put in lots of new plants last week, 'n though they don't look like much right now, the Boss has high hopes for them. They're just droopy little stalks as far as I can see, but I guess they're all right.

It's going to be an "old-fashioned" garden, the Boss says, with daisies 'n poppies 'n all sorts of other flowers that he can cut and bring into the house. All the other flowers around the Shrine have to be left on the bushes and are never cut, 'cause they're just for decoration outside. That's fine, but we kinda like to fix the inside up, too.

' ' '

Well, what with the party and my gardening, you'd think I'da had enough excitement for one pup, but no, I had to have my picture taken. Peter did, too. Boy, that was somethin' to go through, b'lieve me. We spent a whole afternoon at it. They had me doing all sorts of crazy things 'n they were flashing bulbs and chasing me all over the place. Finally I rebelled, being in the state of complete exhaustion, almost, so they let up on me and went after Pete.

They had their hands full with him, I'm tellin' you. He's the wriggliest, most unmanageable pup you ever saw. Finally, the photographers gave it up for a bad job and went home, dog-tired like I was. Pete was the only one who seemed to enjoy the ordeal.

' ' '

The Boss is quite upset 'bout losing Station WINS of New York, folks. Springfield, Mass., doesn't like me 'n the Boss, either. Ho! Hum! What'll these men do when 1940 comes around? 'Sfunny, but he just gets rid of one trouble to find another waiting to take its place. I guess that's the way everybody's life is, but the Boss seems to find awful big ones, don't you think?

If this kinda stuff keeps up, maybe he won't have any stations left to talk to you people 'n tell you the things you should know. Oh, dear, I don't even like to think about that! Such a thing can't happen in this country. You just won't let it happen, will you?

' ' '

I 'spose all the boys and girls are happy now that school's out for the summer months. I bet you've got all kinds of picnics 'n hikes 'n swimming parties planned for almost every day in the week. Boy, are you ever lucky!

I've got to stay right here on the job. There's going to be no vacation for the Circulation Manager, 'cause our work has to go on, summer 'n winter, spring 'n fall. I was wondering if some of you boys and girls could spare some time to help me out with my job by getting busy and selling SOCIAL JUSTICE during the summer months. Besides helping me, you could earn a little spending money to buy your ice cream and your own balls 'n bats 'n some of those other things you'd like to have that you can't persuade your Mothers and Dads to get for you.

Write to the Circulation Department right away so you can be the first in the field in your neighborhood. 'Member, the early bird gets the worm.

' ' '

I sure hope that more subscriptions come in, 'cause my bones are coming with less meat on them and less often than they usta. Yes, 'n to add insult to injury, Pete grabs what few I get away from me and scampers off with them. The little rascal, I guess he's feeling the depression, too. That's why I'm kinda anxious to get the subscriptions in so's there'll be enough for the both of us. It's up to me to earn my way 'n Pete's too, y'know, 'n believe me, it's tough going just now.

But you'll see us through, won't you? One new subscriber to SOCIAL JUSTICE this week will mean SO much to me. I can't begin to tell you how much.

I'll be waitin' for the mailman to bring me a letter from you, 'n when it comes I'll be just about the happiest Circulation Manager in the world.

PAL

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON Date _____

Name _____

Address _____ City _____

State _____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Complete Text of General Moseley's Statement Before Dies Committee

Former U.S. Army Officer Reads Report of His Views on Subversive Activities

Testimony of General Ordered Suppressed and Stricken from Records of Hearing!

[EDITOR'S NOTE: At the conclusion of the reading of his prepared statement by Major General George V. H. Moseley, U.S.A. (retired) before the House of Representatives Committee on Un-American Activities, the so-called Dies committee ordered the statement excluded from the record of the hearing. Since there will be no record of it in the published proceedings nor in the *Congressional Record* and since no American newspaper will publish it, SOCIAL JUSTICE—solely in the interest of "un-suppressing truth"—herewith presents the complete text of Gen. Moseley's important statement.]

FROM MY POINT OF VIEW, the two great problems which confront our republic today are: first, the battle for honorable peace and the elimination of the campaign of international hate; and, second, the battle within our gates at home to overcome those subversive influences which are definitely attempting, I believe, to destroy us or to change our form of government fundamentally. We are the oldest republic existing today in the world. We have made the world's record in the length of our existence. Has our time come now to go? I hope not. But, the handwriting on the wall is as "clear as a bell" for those who are willing to read the evidence.

An accurate portrayal of what would happen to the world in connection with Communism was written by the Netherlands Minister at Petrograd, who was then handling certain affairs for the British. This communication was received by Mr. Balfour, September 18, 1918. I quote the following from his letter:

"The danger is now so great that I feel it my duty to call the attention of the British and all other governments to the fact if an end is not put to Bolshevism in Russia at once the civilization of the whole world will be threatened. This is not an exaggeration, but a sober matter of fact; and the most unusual action of German and Austrian consuls-general, before referred to, in joining in protest of neutral legations appears to indicate that the danger is also being realised in German and Austrian quarters. I consider that the immediate suppression of Bolshevism is the greatest issue now before the world, not even excluding the war which is still raging, and unless, as above stated, Bolshevism is nipped in the bud immediately, it is bound to spread in one form or another over Europe and the whole world, as it is organised and worked by Jews who have no nationality, and whose one object is to destroy for their own ends the existing order of things. The only manner in which this danger could be averted would be collective action on the part of all powers." —(*"British White Paper"—Russia No. 1, 1919, Report No. 6, Page 6.*)

As illustrating the tremendous power of organized Jewry, it must be noted that the *"British White Paper"* referred to was followed by a revised *"White Paper"* omitting entirely the reference to the role of Jews in Bolshevism!

Jews Identified With Bolshevism

The statement in the original *"British White Paper"* accords with what United States Ambassador to Russia, David R. Francis, wrote in January, 1918:

"The Bolshevik leaders here, most of whom are Jews and ninety per cent of whom are returned exiles, care little for Russia or any other country but are internationalists and they are trying to start a world-wide social revolution." —(*"Russia from the American Embassy," by David R. Francis, page 214.*)

The *Jewish Chronicle* (London), of April 4, 1919, also carried an article in accord with both the Netherlands Minister and Ambassador Francis:

"There is much in the fact of Bolshevism itself, in the fact that so many Jews are Bolsheviks, in the fact that the ideals of Bolshevism at many points are consonant with the finest ideals of Judaism."

In September 10, 1920, issue of the *American Hebrew and Jewish Messenger,* the following also appears:

"What Jewish idealism and Jewish discontent have so powerfully contributed to accomplish in Russia, the same historic qualities of the Jewish mind and heart are tending to *promote in other countries.*"—(*Vol. 107 "American Hebrew," Page 507.*)

The three quotations just given to you are found in the Congressional Library.

Reds Put Germany Next After Russia

The experience of Russia, where millions of Christians were killed, stands before us. Trotzky, the Minister of War, who, himself, ordered the killing of some 3-million Christians, is now our neighbor in the so-called democracy of Mexico. Then, we have before our eyes, the case of Hungary, where Bela Kun led the murder squads. Fortunately, we see that the tide has completely turned in Hungary, where steps have been taken to prevent a recurrence. Then, we have, for our benefit, the terrible experiences of Germany, under the internationalists after the Armistice. *Do you realize that Russia expected Germany to be the next State in Europe to go Communist?* But, fortunately, the character of the German people was aroused, and they have thrown off the Communist yoke.

Those who stupidly accept Communism as 20th Century Americanism should read the Manifesto of the 8th convention of the Communist Party of the United States, in April, 1934, declaring:

"The revolutionary way out of the crisis begins with the fight for unemployment insurance, against wage-cuts, for wage increases, for relief to the farmers—through demonstrations, strikes, general strikes, leading up to the seizure of power, to the destruction of capitalism by a revolutionary workers' government."

If this does not awaken the American people, they would do well to read what Earl Browder declared in his book, *"What is Communism?"* published in 1936:

"The revolution does not simply happen; it must be made." (Page 163.) "There must be what we Communists call 'a revolutionary situation'." (Page 163.) "Thus, some form of violence is unavoidable. There is no possible choice between violence and non-violence. The only choice is between the two sides of the class struggle." (Page 169.)

If they are still unconvinced, they might turn to the September 9, 1928, issue of *Pravda* (Moscow official Communist organ), declaring:

"The worldwide nature of our program is not mere talk but an all-embracing and bloodsoaked reality. It cannot be otherwise. Our ultimate aim is world Communism; our fighting preparations are for world revolution, for the conquest of power on a worldwide scale, and the establishment of a world proletarian dictatorship."

Not All Jews Are Communists

At this point, I want to state most emphatically that I do not by any means endorse the proposition that all Jews are Communists, or that all Communists are Jews. In the very nature of things, no loyal Jewish American can possibly be a Communist. That outstanding Jewish American, Samuel Gompers, who was head of the American Federation of Labor, was so resolutely opposed to Communism, that he declared:

"I can think of nothing that would constitute a more needless and base betrayal of civilization than American recognition of Soviet Russia."

Individual Jews can be as good American citizens as anyone else. But, unfortunately, serious embarrassments are placed in their way by organized Jewry proclaiming that all Jews "are a distinct nationality." In his book, *"The Jewish Problem—How to Solve It,"* by the great Jewish leader Louis D. Brandeis, it is asserted:

"Let us all recognize that we Jews are a *distinct nationality* of which every Jew, whatever his country, his station or shade of belief is necessarily a member." (Page 25.)

That is followed by the further assertion:

"Organize, Organize, Organize—until every Jew in America must stand up and be counted—counted with us—or prove himself wittingly or unwittingly, of the *few* who are against their own people." (Page 26.)

Jewry Organized As World Unit

Such a condition of affairs manifestly creates divided or dual allegiance, wholly inconsistent with wholehearted loyalty to any nation, by those affiliating with such organized Jewry. Brandeis' said proclamation cannot be lightly brushed aside, when it is borne in mind that, in a worldwide poll of Jewish communities in 1937, he was elected (along with Red Litvinov) to the Jewish Hall of Fame, as among the 120 Greatest Living Jews.—(*1938 "World Almanac," page 413.*)

Moreover, the existence of an organized World Jewry is also declared in *"Fireside Discussion Group Leaflet No. VII"* of the Anti-Defamation League of the Jewish B'nai B'rith:

"Approximately a race, definitely a type, and consciously a unity, we are an historic people—a world community." (Page 3.)

The same proposition is reaffirmed by Rabbi Louis T. Loeb, of Washington, D. C., who proclaimed his "creed" in favor of "Jerusalem as head capital of a United States of the World." — (*"Who's Who in the Nation's Capitol, 1929-1930," pp. 447-8.*)

Story of Spain Tells a Red Record

All subversive activities are largely dependent upon false propaganda. The latest case of such propaganda is in connection with the war just closed in Spain, where our sympathies were worked upon in the interest of the so-called Loyalist government, one of the vilest governments in all history, now that the curtain has been raised and we see the complete picture. During the progress of that war, the press would not accept anything

(Continued on Page 10)

Highlights of Moseley Statement

References to Jewish control of Bolshevism removed from a revised British White Paper.

Reds planned that Germany would go Communist next after Russia.

Jewish leadership, organized as a world unit, refuses to repudiate support of Communism.

Army and Navy Intelligence investigations of Communism in America halted on orders of President Roosevelt.

General evaded efforts to get him into a secret conference with Louis L. Strauss of Kuhn, Loeb & Company.

Gen. Moseley, Gen. Craig and Father Coughlin listed for "purge".

Secret report boasts plan to wreck United States arsenals and raise a Red army of 2,814,372 young men to create a United States Soviet.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Reds in Campaign to Take Over U.S. Merchant Marine Control

Strikes and Murderous Terrorism in America Ordered by Stalin

NEW YORK, N. Y.—A scene reminiscent of Soviet Russia was recently enacted on the streets of New York City. In broad daylight at the corner of Tenth Avenue and 23rd Street, four Communist members of the National Maritime Union jumped out of a car and, brandishing 6-inch iron pipes, clubbed Frederick C. Phillips almost to death.

When their victim was lying unconscious on the sidewalk with a fractured skull, the would-be murderers calmly climbed back into their automobile and rode away They are still at large today!

Mr. Phillips was one of the leaders of the National Maritime Union's Rank and File faction, which is opposed to the N.M.U.'s new Moscow-controlled governing board. For the past month this latter group, headed by Joe Curran, the Union's Communist president, has been staging a Red reign of terror on American waterfronts from Maine to the Gulf of Mexico.

The brutal assault on Phillips, however, was much more than a typical Communist maneuver to liquidate opposition to their illegally acquired leadership. It was the opening gun of a campaign to make the Red dictatorship over American seamen so complete that, in the event of war, Communists, by means of strikes and other agitation, will be able to prevent the United States merchant marine from supplying food or raw materials to enemies of Russia, and thereby force Washington to adhere to a strict, pro-Soviet foreign policy.

Strikes in U. S. Ordered by Moscow

The plan to make American shipping a tool of the Moscow Comintern was formulated as far back as 1930. In that year S. Abramovitch Dridzo, secretary of the Red International Labor Unions, told a Marine Workers' Congress at Hamburg, Germany:

"The revolutionizing of the transport workers of the world's leading countries must not lag It is vitally necessary that our control of transport vessels spread through the merchant marines around the globe."

Until last month Communists, although they had succeeded in bolshevizing the merchant marines of France and several smaller countries, had been balked in their efforts to line up American seamen by the patriotic N.M.U. Rank and File group. But three weeks ago Bolshevik agents, alarmed at the increasing tension in Europe, ordered the N.M.U chiefs to go to any lengths to liquidate the anti-Communist faction at once.

Since he dares not rely on his own mutinous troops, Stalin knows his principal aid in wartime must come from his followers in the "democratic" nations.

The result of Moscow's order was an edict from Joe Curran suspending prominent members of the Rank and File group from the Union on trumped-up charges of secretly negotiating with ship owners. This was followed a few days later by the attempted murder of Phillips. At the same time other anti-Communist leaders were warned that any resistance to the Curran order would mean their death.

Ousted Anti-Reds Fear Framed Verdict

Meanwhile the Reds stalled on giving hearings to the suspended Rank and Filers, with the exception of Jerome King, former National Secretary-Treasurer of the N.M.U., whose trial came up last week. Since the union trial judge is Ferdinand ("The Fink") Smith, a notorious Red terrorist and former strikebreaker, and the jury is composed of Curran's stooges, King will probably be pronounced "guilty" and expelled from the union.

But the Rank and File members have already announced their determination not to accept the framed verdict, and early this week they warned Curran that any further use of Stalinist tactics within the union would force them to transfer the entire affair from N.M.U. courts to courts of law.

The anti-Communist faction claims to have enough on the union's Communist rulers to send several of them to Sing Sing. In fact Joe Curran, who recently deserted his wife for a Jewish-Communist lassie named Rheda Tobel, is reported to have already served more than one prison sentence.

How Reds Took Over Labor Control

The story of how Joe Curran and his gang of 1,000 Red labor racketeers blackjacked their way into control of the National Maritime Union reads like a news dispatch from the land of the Soviets. Besides effectively exposing the Communist strategy of boring from within, the endless recital of terror, near-murder, blackmail and treachery offers conclusive proof of what happens to labor unions when Red gangsters start using them to further the cause of Russia and world revolution.

The story begins with the formation of the N.M.U. in 1937. An outgrowth of two former seamen's unions, the I.S.U. (International Seamen's Union) and the M.W.I.U. (Marine Workers' Industrial Union), the new organization had hardly begun to function when it became the prey of Communist political bosses.

At the first national convention Reds seized most of the executive posts by the simple methods of prolonging the proceedings until the delegates' money ran out and they had to return to their ships. Afterward the Communists, who were being supported by funds from the party chest, elected their own stooges without opposition.

Once in power, the Reds began to stuff the membership rolls with textile workers from New Jersey, Jewish pants pressers from the Bronx, Boston bricklayers, stray shipyard workers and even a circus clown—party members who had never been to sea in their lives. By means of this and similar tricks, the Communists managed to remain in power for nearly a year.

'Commies' Rob Union Cashbox

In the 1938 elections, however, the N.M.U.'s 34,000 dues-paying members were so outraged by the Reds' tactics that most of the Communist ruling clique was swept out of office and replaced by a Rank and File group headed by Phillips and Jerome King. Joe Curran, one of the few high Red officials who remained, promised to renounce his strong-arm practices and adhere to the democratic principles of true unionism.

Although just before the election a few Communists had "gone south" with $18,000 of the union's money, the Rank and Filers took Curran at his word and, instead of promoting factional strife within the union, devoted all their energy to putting the N.M.U.'s chaotic affairs in order.

For a while great progress was made. The Rank and File put a stop to the political squabbling which had been tearing the union apart, and raised wages and living standards. But on May 12 the union was once again made a battleground when Joe Curran showed his true colors and came out with the scurrilous charge that the union's new leaders had sold out to some reactionary ship owners.

Since the Rank and Filers refused to lower themselves by answering such an obvious frame-up, which was based on an old discredited statement by one Octave Lones, a Belgian, the Communists redoubled their slander and capped it with a "confession" from Walter Carney, a criminal now serving a term in the Riker's Island peniteniary for larceny, who said he had been "present at the negotiations."

On the basis of this cooked-up testimony the Communists rode back into power, suspended the Rank and Filers and at the bidding of their Soviet masters are now preparing to extend their rule over the entire American merchant marine.

Jewish Medal Rewards Dot Thompson For Carrying On Anti-Nazi Crusade

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Miss Dorothy Thompson, the Joan of Arc of the American war party, was the recipient two weeks ago of a significant honor.

At a luncheon in the New York Harmonie Club—the same club recently mentioned before the Dies Committee as a center of Jewish-Communist intrigue against the United States Government — America's leading female warmonger was given the Gottheil Medal, awarded annually to the person who best serves the cause of International Jewry. She thus stepped up to the class of President Roosevelt, Governor Herbert Lehman and Felix Warburg — previous Gottheil prizewinners.

After the presentation Miss Thompson, whose writings have never won her any American medals, warmly thanked her Jewish friends for the award and next day repaid them with one of her hysterical columns against the Nazis. Like all her former outbursts, the article tried to prove that Adolf Hitler has a pair of horns and a tail and must be destroyed in a new world war.

The Gottheil Prize, however, is not the only recompense that Dotty has received for her anti-Nazi tirades. Nazi-baiting, she has discovered, is one of the highest-paid professions in the United States. Last year it netted her $35,000, not counting free meals garnered from Jewish and Communist organizations throughout the country.

The fact that Dotty earns her money by preaching a war that might cause the death of millions of young Americans doesn't bother her. In fact the only thing that bothers her at all is Adolf Hitler. For the last twelve months fewer than five per cent of her columns have failed to mention Der Fuehrer

Called Hitler a 'Little Man'

Dorothy Thompson first hit upon anti-Nazism as a career in 1932, one year before Hitler became Chancellor of Germany. After an interview with the future Fuehrer in Berlin, she wrote an article for *Cosmopolitan* that made her the darling of the international scribblers.

"Hitler," she wrote, "is formless, almost faceless, a man whose countenance is a caricature, a man whose framework seems cartilaginous, without bones. He is inconsequent and voluble, ill-poised, insecure."

The reaction was overwhelming. Jewish, Communist and other assorted anti-Nazi groups praised the article to the skies and magazine editors began deluging her with assignments. Dotty's success was assured.

A year later, however, when the Nazis swept into power, Dotty's celebrated interview became the laughing stock of the world.

"When finally I walked into Adolf Hitler's salon in the Kaiserhof Hotel," Miss Thompson had solemnly written, "I was convinced that I was meeting the future dictator of Germany. But in less than fifty seconds I was quite sure I was not. It took just about that time to measure the startling insignificance of the man."

The article concluded with a typical example of Thompsonian name-calling and wishful thinking: "I thought of this man before me, seated, as an equal, between Hindenburg and Bruening and involuntarily I smiled. Oh, Adolf! Adolf! You will be out of luck."

In 1933 Dotty's "insignificant Adolf" reduced President Hindenburg to a political figurehead and in 1935 forced ex-Chancellor Bruening to flee to America.

Dotty Had the Writer's Itch

Dorothy Thompson, as her grasp of foreign relations proves, might have been of greater service to humanity if she had remained a suffragette, her original occupation. But like a lot of other young female college graduates of the World War period she was possessed with an itch to be a writer. In 1920, after flunking the English grammar section of a New York state examination for teachers, she quit her job as an organizer in the women's suffrage movement and went abroad.

In Europe she quickly became a prominent member of that international group of Marxists and pseudo-intellectuals who flitted about the starving cities of the continent, talking about the masses in the daytime and drinking themselves to death at night. She married a Jewish writer named Joseph Bard, established a notorious salon in Vienna and supported herself by writing sensational "scoops" for American newspapers.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

A CALL TO ACTION IN THE CHURCH MILITANT!

CHRISTIANS OFTEN have heard it remarked that they belong to the Church Militant—the fighting Church. Simple-minded souls are prone to think that their fighting is limited to the inordinate movements of passion —pride, lust and sloth. Perchance, they forget that it is equally important to fight the world and the devil; that it is of paramount importance to consolidate their forces against the unchristian forces of the world; to oppose vigorously the very realistic powers and principalities of darkness who are the unseen rulers in high places.

The great St. Paul expressed this thought when he said: *"Our wrestling is not against flesh and blood, but against principalities and powers, against the rulers of the world of this darkness, against the spirits of wickedness in the high places."*

Some powers, some intelligences, certainly are responsible for the planned misrule which characterizes our age—the misrule which finds expression so often in government with its opposition to Christ and His principles; the misrule which is responsible for the principles that generate poverty, oppression, hatred and war; the misrule which contemns the supernatural life established by Christ and which supports the theory that the Sermon on the Mount, the Parable of the Vineyard and the entire Gospel of Christ should be ostracized from business, commerce, education, finance and government.

"It is not prudent for Christians to insist upon the practices of Christianity in their everyday lives," say some, because it "begets intolerance, stirs up animosities and is counter to the rights of minorities."

' ' '

Leo XIII characterized this as cowardice although some Christians regarded him as a radical for doing so; and although many more paid little heed to his pleas for reorganizing a strong, courageous Christian front.

Speaking particularly of Christian public servants such as governors, legislators, judges—public servants who, it seems, are more ambitious for personal political power and prestige than for the Kingdom of Christ—Leo XIII said: "As to those men who take part in public affairs, *they should avoid with the very utmost care two criminal excesses; so-called prudence and false courage.*

"Some there are, indeed, who maintain that it is not opportune boldly to attack evil-doing in its might and when it is in the ascendant, lest, as they say, opposition should exasperate minds already hostile. Such men make it a matter of guesswork as to whether they are for the Church or against her. On the one hand, they give themselves out as professing the Christian faith, and yet wish that the Church should allow certain opinions, at variance with her teaching, to be spread abroad with impunity."

At a later date, Pius X, recognizing the steady growth in power and prestige of those who are hostile to the Christian social order even in countries where Communism has not yet been accepted as the scheme of life—at a later date this peerless Pontiff pleaded with the Christians throughout the world to set aside their apathy, their false prudence, their wisdom of the flesh. The occasion of this plea was the beatification of Joan of Arc on December 13, 1908. She was the saint sent to remind the world of the supernatural political guidance of God and of the Christian organization of Europe which was the glory of the 13th century. Referring to her heroism as contrasted with the timidity of so many Christians in our day, Pius X said: "In our time more than ever before, *the chief strength of the wicked lies in the cowardice and weakness of good men* . . . All the strength of Satan's reign is due to the easy-going weakness of Christians. Oh! If I might ask the Divine Redeemer, as the prophet Zachary did in spirit, 'What are these wounds in the midst of Thy hands?' the answer would not be doubtful: 'With these was I wounded in the house of them that loved Me. I was wounded by My friends, who did nothing to defend Me, and who, on every occasion, made themselves the accomplices of My adversaries'."

' ' '

The enemies of Christianity are the world, the flesh and the devil—the world with its naturalistic philosophy that spurns supernaturalism; the flesh, with its allurements; and the devil with his false philosophy, his text book of life and his retinue of defamers. With these there is no compromise nor tolerance.

We are anti-nothing. We are solidly pro-Christian!

Leo XIII warned us—although few have heeded his warning—that "it is the day for all Christians worthy of the name . . . to endeavor to bring back all society to the pattern and form of Christianity."

There is much agitation in Christian circles about the advances which Communism is making in our midst. There is also diversity of opinion, it seems, whether or not Communism one day will gain control of our destinies in America—America that once was Christian America; America that once gloried in the fact that its early settlers sought our shores because their Christianity, in many instances, was the object of attacks in the Old World.

Communism is only one manifestation of the power of the mystical body of Satan. Leave it to future generations to name its next manifestation—but be not deceived. If not Communism, then some other "ism" will succeed in overthrowing the last vestige of organized Christianity in our midst. To prevent this we must act now. Let us cast aside our indifference, our false tolerance, our criminal prudence, and organize thoroughly to re-establish the social order of Jesus Christ for the protection of our nation against the incursions of a diabolical philosophy of internationalism which is well organized amongst us.

The great St. Thomas is my authority for stating that "our parents and our native land, by whom and in which we have been begotten and reared, are also principals of our existence and guidance. Accordingly, after God, a man is most indebted to his parents and his country."

Contrary to this is the new naturalism and internationalism which rejects both God and patriotism. Pope Benedict XV, after having condemned the naturalism which was rampant in his day, went on to say: "The advent of a universal republic, which is longed for by all the world's worst elements of disorder, and confidently expected by them, is an idea which is now ripe for execution. From this republic, based on the principles of absolute equality of men and community of possessions, would be banished all national distinctions. Nor in it would the authority of the father over his children, or of the public power over the citizens, or of God over human society, any longer be acknowledged. If these ideas are put into practice, there will inevitably follow a reign of unheard of terror."

Shame on those men who refuse to join with Christians in repelling Communism as well as Naziism.

Shame on those public teachers who, in pulpit, on platform and in pamphlet, decry the existence of an organized international, malicious group of men—the members of the mystical body of Satan.

Shame on those apathetic Christians who, for the sake of financial gain, of social prominence and of fleeting fame, maintain that it is not prudent to oppose the hosts of Anti-Christ and to join the ranks, cost what it may, of those who are defending the principles preached by our God and Master.

' ' '

Christian unification — a rebirth of Christian social action; a revived determination to break the bonds of our lethargy and indifference—for these things I plead, as we rise from the tomb of our defeats to unfurl the flag of victory.

Social justice has specified principles. First, it is Christian. Second, it is social. Thirdly, it is active. And fourthly, it is militant. These are the qualifications for all who are prepared to surrender the heresy of naturalism and to defend the truth of the supernatural social order of Jesus Christ—an order that will not be tolerant with error—an order that will not be content with indifference; an order composed of members who in the words of Leo XIII, "are determined to cut off familiar intercourse not only with the openly wicked, but with those who hide their real character under the mask of universal tolerance, of respect for all religions, of the mania for reconciling the maxims of the Gospel with those of the revolution, Christ with Belial, and the Church of God with the state without God."

In 1906, long before the Spanish civil war broke out, Pius X addressed a letter to the Church of Spain, the contents of which are applicable to American Christians. On that occasion —thirty years before the revolution —he said: "All must remember that nobody has the right to remain indifferent, when religion or the public welfare are in danger. Those who strive to destroy religion and civil society aim above all at getting control, as far as possible, of the direction of public affairs and at having themselves elected legislators. It is therefore necessary that Christians should strive with all their might to avert that danger."

Therefore we Christians in America must not be indifferent to the choice of legislators or Congressmen or executives who seek to control our nation.

' ' '

Social justice is primarily interested in the eternal salvation of the souls of men. We recognize, however, that it is most difficult for a man to save his immortal soul when he is unjustly denied the goods of this world. Therefore, social justice is likewise interested in the production and the just distribution of the goods of this world. Not distribution which is measured out by the yardstick of scarcity, but a just distribution based upon our ability to produce—an ability which in American means plenty for all.

God gave us plenty—plenty of raw materials, plenty of splendid factories, plenty of fields and mines, plenty of skilled workmen.

If some policy—originating not with God but with man—hinders or hampers production and distribution, that policy must beat a retreat. Its beneficiaries must surrender; for God never intended or planned that a man-made economy should stand between His people and their just share in the goods of the nation where He placed them.

There is no Christian reason for 13-million men to be unemployed. There is no Christian need for 9-million and more to be recipients of federal dole. There is no Christian sanction for millions of persons in our nation being compelled unnecessarily to live below the standards of hygiene.

Are you reluctant to play your part in the Church Militant?

Do you believe that it is possible to acquire full personal sanctification for yourself and your brothers in Christ when you refrain from doing your share in establishing social sanctification in a world and in a nation whose policies too often are regulated by the ethics and laws which originate from the capital of the mystical body of Satan?

Christians, unite to win all men to the standard of the cross!

Take courage! Form your ranks in the Church Militant!

This America is Christ's America. His social order must prevail!

Father Coughlin

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Rev. Chas. E. Coughlin, LL.D.

EDITORIAL COUNSEL

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with government's chief concern for the poor.

A New 'Social Justice' Service

COMPLETE AND CONTINUOUS radio coverage in the Chicago area becomes a reality today—Monday, June 12th—when for two hours and more each day broadcasts are to be made by SOCIAL JUSTICE over radio station WHIP, situated in Hammond, Indiana.

This is the first time that this National Weekly, of which Father Coughlin is Editorial Counsel, has invaded the field of radio for the purpose of bringing the message of social justice to additional millions of Americans. Additional contracts are now pending in New York, Pittsburgh and Detroit under which SOCIAL JUSTICE will purchase several hours of time each week day for the broadcasting of attractive programs along lines of both education and entertainment.

The agreement with station WHIP for a minimum of 800 hours of time, to be used in Chicago, Illinois and Wisconsin territory, is a definite answer to the undemocratic attitude of Chicago station WJJD which barred Father Coughlin's Christian broadcasts from the air last Fall because they were "controversial."

Radio station WHIP is one of the strongest in the Middle West. Powered at 5,000 watts, its broadcasting power is directional over the City of Chicago, west to the Iowa line and northward over much of Wisconsin. Its antennae, situated just across the Indiana line in the suburbs of Chicago, are several miles closer to the "Loop" than that of WJJD, and afford much better listening facilities.

Programs will be broadcast at five periods of each week day directly from the offices of SOCIAL JUSTICE in Royal Oak, Michigan. Early morning programs will be devoted to praver and meditation. At noon, and again in the evening, our commentators will discuss the news of the day with particular emphasis on the social justice interpretation of current news events. An afternoon half hour will be devoted to a series of musical numbers and inspirational talks for "shut-ins." The late evening program of music and addresses will close with prayer.

Prominent speakers from various parts of the country, conversant with critical conditions in the United States and the world, will deliver addresses from time to time under the direction of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

Negotiations for the purchase of several hours of time over station WHIP each day followed upon a concerted boycott of this station by powerful Chicago influences opposed to Father Coughlin and to SOCIAL JUSTICE. Station WHIP was the only outlet for Father Coughlin's broadcast in Chicago after the Chicago stations had been closed to him.

Leaders of the social justice movement in the metropolitan area, and throughout Illinois and Wisconsin, were of the opinion that the boycott and consequent loss of a few timid advertising accounts afforded Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE an exceptional opportunity to use this cancelled time in the battle against Communism and those who foster it.

The listening audience of WHIP is expected to double and perhaps treble as a result of these new SOCIAL JUSTICE programs. All readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE within the station's territory are asked not only to listen in on these programs yourselves but to enlist the interest of friends and relatives.

You are requested to tell any and all persons with whom you come into contact that they *can hear the "Voice of Royal Oak" every day and several times a day* over station WHIP at Hammond, Indiana.

These week day programs will in no way affect the schedule of Sunday addresses of Father Coughlin over WHIP. These will go on at the usual hour also.

Controversy

CONTROVERSY, according to the meaning given it by the management of certain radio stations, is being used to assault free speech.

Controversy is the word they use to keep Father Coughlin off the air.

Controversy is a subterfuge.

Radio stations are licensed by the Federal Government. They own nothing but the equipment used in broadcasting. They have not the same right to exclude a public speaker representing a national magazine which a newspaper has.

Under the subterfuge of controversy, radio stations become the undemocratic censors of America.

They may exclude from the air a Republican because his political speeches are "controversial" from the viewpoint of a radio station supporting the New Deal.

They may exclude a Catholic today because his religious speeches are controversial. Tomorrow—using the same subterfuge of controversy—Christians may exclude Jews.

In every instance where radio stations have excluded Father Coughlin they were owned or controlled by Jews.

The Jews have set a terrible precedent and have given a tremendous weapon to be used by non-Jews in critical days to come.

What Is the Cost?

IN THE FOREIGN NEWS dispatches of the week we read that Soviet Russia has rejected the terms of Great Britain's proffer of an Anglo-Russian alliance. Coupled with it, Foreign Minister Molotov states that "trade relations with Germany might be resumed." Of course, Molotov, or any other voice in Soviet Russia today, is simply speaking for Dictator Stalin.

Thus, the average American reader, who is not able to grasp these international matters from incomplete newspaper accounts, is startled to discover Dictator Stalin suddenly on top—looming, indeed, as the big man in Europe.

England and France, in their zeal to check Hitler, formulate more and more tempting terms to lure the Soviet into a military alliance; while at the same time Moscow announces plans for a "deal" with Hitler.

The terms of the latest Anglo-Russian alliance have appeared in the press: but upon what terms can Stalin and Hitler conceivably trade?

Stalin is implacably opposed to Christianity and to the Christian rules of life in society and government. His Soviet, at least in profession, is friendly to the Jews. His capital is General Headquarters for the Comintern and its program of world revolution. Stalin continues to be the darling of the exploiting financial internationalists who put him in power and who keep him there.

Hitler's Nazis, on the other hand, are opposed to Jews. The German nation is still Christian. Rightly or wrongly, the Nazi regime which sprang up as a defense mechanism against Communism, blames the Jews for exploiting the poverty of the German people during the welter of postwar depression.

On what basis then will Hitler and Stalin trade? If Hitler co-operates with Stalin's anti-Christian dictatorship, will Josef Stalin in turn join Hitler in carrying out a purge of Russia's Jews? Is the recent, and increasing, persecution of Christians in Germany a reflection of the Nazis' zeal to make friends with the Butcher Stalin?

Let no western power be deceived: Nobody can make any deal with Stalin in which Communism's world revolutionists and the foes of Christianity will not be the winner. England and France, who now think they fear Hitler most, will join Stalin only at the price of Communism in their own none-too-steady "democracies" at home.

Hitler's deal with Stalin will, in the end, cost him everything the Nazi regime has fought for; namely, to free Germany from Communism and the international financial exploiter.

Weeks ago SOCIAL JUSTICE disclosed an old pre-war deal between Germany and Russia; Father Coughlin and this National Weekly also have predicted Russia as the scene of the severest pogrom the Jews have ever known.

Hitler and Stalin are more likely allies than the British Empire and the Soviet Union. But, mark it well, their "deal" will be *at the cost of Christianity in Germany and to the sad peril of the Jews in Soviet Russia.*

Billy Rose K. O.'s Beethoven

IF THE NEW YORK World's Fair is any indication, the world of tomorrow will be populated entirely by seminude showgirls working for Billy Rose.

That much was made clear two weeks ago when Rose's blaring Aquacade forced the Fair's $350,000 Hall of Music to shut its doors and cancel all its contracts.

The Hall of Music, a magnificent air-conditioned, fireproof structure of white marble, was originally intended to be the symbol of the musical culture of the future. Under its barrel-shaped dome the world's greatest orchestras were to give a series of concerts scheduled to run throughout the summer.

But hardly had the first musical offerings been given when weird noises began to intermix with the inspiring tones of Beethoven and Wagner. The shrill hawkings of barkers from Billy Rose's sex emporium across the way penetrated even the soundproof walls of the Music Hall and made hash of the loveliest creations of music.

Unable to get any action from Grover Whalen, the sponsors of the Music Hall had to close down, and as a result music at the Fair now consists exclusively of the barbaric moanings of the swingsters.

Billy Rose, Napoleon of Pornography and ex-Bronx office boy, has won another round in his battle against culture.

World's Fare

STATION WHIP has invaded Chicago, carrying the voice of SOCIAL JUSTICE. Here's hoping there will be no backlash.

* * *

Several months ago we predicted bigger and better strikes in the motor industry. Well, they are here and are affecting almost 120,000 working men directly.

* * *

The C.I.O. in Detroit taxed its members in one division more than $23,000 to send to the Spanish Loyalists; in the Flint area nearly $200,000 of hard-earned money was used for promoting radical ventures. Now, gentlemen, you know where your dues money is going.

* * *

The British royal couple paused at a little town last Sunday to attend church. This religious act endeared them to millions of persons.

* * *

Labor has the right to strike. Some labor leaders think that this right should be put to practice 300 days in the year.

* * *

Once more Molotov has rejected Britain's encirclement policy. We repeat that eventually Russia and Germany will join their forces.

* * *

However, Englishmen are too clever to institute trade restrictions against Germany. The only nation in the world refusing to trade with the Third Reich is the New Deal nation.

* * *

Not long ago Clarence Hathaway, editor of the red *Daily Worker*, was the invited speaker at Vassar College, Poughkeepsie. His subject was "The Constructive Program of the Communist Party and the Difference Between It and the Other American Groups."

Where does he get that word "other"?

So our college girls require instruction on how to become good Communists!

* * *

Vassar College recently opened the gates of the second World Youth Congress, one of the fronts behind which Communism operates—and the girls attending Vassar are neither Jewish nor from homes "on the other side of the railroad tracks."

* * *

At last the story is out! The money sent from this country to help Red Spain through six organizations amounted to $1,630,841.41. The actual amount of this money which reached Red Spain was only 70 per cent, because practically 30 per cent of each dollar that the dupes contributed paid the overhead for the collectors.

* * *

The American Jewish Congress held a meeting at the Hotel Abbey, New York City, at 8:30 P. M., May 18, 1939. Speakers of the evening were Harvey L. Schwamm, Samuel Schneider, Judge Louis Brodsky, Judge Alexander Wolf, Judge Benjamin Greenspan, Morris Fortgang, Max Landis, Maldwin Fertig.

* * *

Judges Wolf and Greenspan exhorted the audience to unite the Jews of America to become a political unit.

If Catholics or Poles or Italians or Presbyterians or Irish or Germans or Methodists each became a political unit, my what a mess! It would be curtains for all of them.

* * *

Judge Greenspan also commented on the scarcity of the Jews in the railroad public utilities business. Too bad he didn't observe there is also a scarcity of Jews in the farming business.

* * *

When assured that there were no reporters in the audience, Judge Greenspan said he could speak a little more freely and then went on to say that the American Jewish Congress had an office at 221 West 57th Street which they call their "State Department."

By the way, we are informed that a gentleman by the name of Frank Prince also has an office at that address.

Too bad these private meetings are leaky.

The meeting adjourned at 11:05 P.M.

* * *

On May Day we stood on Eighth Avenue and 43rd Street in New York and counted 739 returned internationalists who marched under the red banners of Communism and the Friends of the Abraham Lincoln Brigade. These "soldier comrades" gave the Communist salute and sang sour songs.

* * *

Remember that the Communist Party is sending the pick of these men to various American centers to secure jobs in basic industries and to bore from within.

* * *

Every nation at the World's Fair experienced labor trouble and strikes during the erection of their exhibit—every one except Russia. Figure that one out for yourself.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

The Reds Go to Town

The Death Warrant for Democratic American Unionism Was Signed in Moscow in 1935. It Is Being Executed Today

By Lewis Seward

DETROIT, MICHIGAN—If a cartoonist in 1934 were to picture Communism in the American labor movement, he would have been forced to sketch a bushy-haired, wild-eyed rascal standing off by himself shouting, *"Workers of the world, unite!"* Heedless workmen would have filed past him into the factory, laughing at the Communist's futile efforts to agitate them.

But if a cartoonist today were to present a picture of Communism in the American labor movement, he would necessarily sketch not one Communist but droves of them, not standing aside, but marching shoulder-to-shoulder with heedless workmen, so close they could not be distinguished.

And the cry would not be, "Workers of the world, unite—you have nothing to lose but your chains." The cry would be, "Workers—unite for democracy, security, jobs and prosperity!"

For, in 1935 the order went out from Moscow that Communist unions were to be abandoned as such. It was obvious that American labor was not interested in this foreign import, Stalinist Communism on the Marxian order. Americans were interested first and last in the democracy they had been reared in, and would countenance no effort to have it taken from them.

This is the first in a series of articles by a staff writer of SOCIAL JUSTICE dealing with Communism in the C.I.O. and the breakdown of orderly labor union practices. Not unionism but labor's leadership has broken down. The dues-paying American working man is too often the victim of those serving other interests than his. It is in the interest of the worker that SOCIAL JUSTICE undertakes this investigation.
—EDITOR.

Moscow's Plotters Steal Democracy

The order was dispatched from Moscow that this democracy which American workmen cherished was to be taken over. It was to be stolen completely. Communists were to be, not "Communists," but "workers for democracy." Their leaders were to be not alien politicians and subversive revolutionaries, they were to be labor leaders, organizers, union officers and candidates for public office on tickets supported by labor.

The Moscow plotters, having concluded a revolution in the land of the Czars, felt equipped to plot one for America. They did. Planning the thing through to a distant conclusion, they ordered their party minions in the United States to penetrate American unions, and gain control of them. Their intention was to extend their grasp over the basic industries—coal, steel, glass, rubber and automobiles. Of the lot, emphasis was placed on steel and automobiles, for within these industries reposed the implements of war: steel for shells and munitions; automobiles and machinery for artillery, guns and fighting equipment.

Russia's Red hordes had definite plans for America.

C.I.O. Serves Reds' Purposes

Fortunately for Russia's godless madmen—and unfortunately for millions of American workers—the Committee for Industrial Organization was sired out of John L. Lewis' quarrel with the American Federation of Labor just at this time. Again the wires sparked their coded messages from Moscow. Communist assaults on the A. F. of L. were to be abandoned. The C.I.O. instead was to be penetrated for, with workers organized from top to bottom and back again in industrial unions, these millions would be more easily controlled by a handful of Red "leaders" at the top and other powerful but numerically insignificant leaders scattered throughout the structure.

This policy which, a credit to the plot-ability of the Muscovites, remained secret for several months, came to be recognized by the more intelligent of labor's defenders. It was called the "Trojan Horse" policy. For, just as the Greeks entered Troy in the belly of a wooden horse, so the Communists entered American labor in the belly of a wooden jackass, falsely labeled "democracy," but properly recognized as Communism.

While the bands played, while the flags waved, while the masses shouted of their "liberation" through the C. I. O., the Stalinists enjoyed a laugh among themselves. Had they not pulled their tactic with a minimum of discovery? Were they not firmly entrenched? Were not their leaders, long trained in fomenting unrest and dissension, even now at work, organizing, calling and directing strikes? Were not the dues-dollars rolling in? Were not the "chumps," the workmen who were paying from $2 a month upwards, actually financing the cause of Communism, while wallowing in the belief they were financing American unionism?

They were.

Auto Industry Becomes Target

Nowhere in their general but unsuspected assault on the C.I.O. were the Communists more active than in the automobile industry. Into the ranks of the International Union of the United Automobile Workers of America the Red plotters poured. Steamy, smoke-filled upstairs meeting halls in Detroit's foreign-speaking sections became nests for Communist plottings. High political leaders of the Communist Party hastened to the Auto City as organization work was speeded; as local after local mushroomed in factory after factory. The names of Weinstone, Gebert, Foster, Sugar, Reuther were often spoken. The names of Travis, Mortimer, Hall, Mazey, Frankensteen became by-words.

Soon the atmosphere crackled with the General Motors strike of 1936-37, and another great fanfare of organizational activity. Then came, successively, the strike of Chrysler Motors and lesser plants. Membership rolls swelled, union activities hummed, and so did the Communists!

On another front John L. Lewis was beseiged by the Reds. They gave him organizational assistance when he needed organizational assistance, and he completed his part of the bargain. The Reds, marshaling a show of strength throughout the C.I.O., were able to force their way into positions of power.

Their leaders claimed the party membership or support of such notorious labor figures as Harry Bridges, strong-arm man of the Seamen's Union on the Pacific Coast, who demonstrated his authoritarian power in the general strike of 1934, and in lesser tie-ups since; Ben Gold, president of the Fur Workers' Union, formerly affiliated with the Soviet trade unions, stemming from Moscow; Len De Caux, editor of the *C.I.O. News,* and minister of propaganda for the C. I. O.'s Communists; Lewis Merrill, head of the United Office and Professional Workers' Union, and familiar Communist fellow-traveler; Abraham Flexner, head of the County, Municipal and State Workers of America; Michael J. Quill, president of the Transport Workers' Union, and Donald Henderson, president of the United Cannery, Agricultural Packing and Allied Workers of America.

Half of Executive Board Communists

When it was formed in 1935, the Committee for Industrial Organization could claim only 12 International Unions of the American Federation of Labor, with a total membership of approximately 1,200,000. Communist Party leaders, working with the Lewis organizers, were able to impress 22 more International Unions into the C.I.O. structure. Today the C.I.O., having undergone a refining process in the November, 1938, convention at Pittsburgh, is known as the "Congress of Industrial Organizations," and the Communists *claim the loyalty of 16 out of 32 members of the C.I.O. executive board,* either as party members or fellow-travelers.

The John L. Lewis system of unionization has come of age. And so have the Communist plotters who stormed their way into the structure of this union.

Saddest note of the picture of C.I.O.-Communism's parallel stretch to power and influence was the figure of the American workman, reveling in his first good bite of strong unionism. The factory worker who had sorrowed and sweated at his task, envious of his more fortunate brethren in the old, established, solid American Federation of Labor craft unions, leaped at the opportunity to gird himself with the iron bands of union strength. He relished his first sample of seniority rights, his first pay envelope with a 5- or 10-cent hourly raise, his first assurance of severence pay, sick leave or a two-week vacation. He did not question the sincerity of those who extended to him in one hand a C.I.O. union membership card, and with the other reached for his $2 initiation fee and first monthly dues installment, and who can blame him?

Honest Worker Now Feels the Barb

This worker paid, willingly. He was showered with C.I.O. pamphlets, and with others bearing the insignia of some obscure worker's "league for democratic unionism." He was given a list of candidates, up for election at the next meeting of his local. He did not question the integrity of those running for the office of president, or financial secretary, or treasurer.

He voted as he was instructed. He learned to shout "Fascist," whenever the name of his employer, Father Coughlin, Senator Burke, Senator Vandenberg, the publisher of his local newspaper, William Green, or any member of the A.F.L. was mentioned. He took his grievances to the man they pointed out to him. And he went out on strike when he was told to, not once, not twice, but sometimes once a week.

In a short while he heard whispers that all was not right with the union's finances. He learned absolutely that all was not right with his own finances, for while he had won a 10-cent hourly wage increase, the time he lost on assorted strikes, most of them of the "wildcat" variety, swallowed the pay raise and much more, too. He fell behind with his dues. One morning he went to the factory, but it was being picketed. No, there was no strike. A union "flying squadron" was merely collecting dues. He would have to pay his dues before he could get into the plant. He couldn't. The boys took care of him!

When he returned home from the hospital he found his job had been taken. The union, he heard, had said that he was a "company stooge!" The union had prevailed upon the company to fire him—the union he had joined because he had been assured it would protect him in his job, in his right to work, in his right to decent pay and comparatively short hours!

Leadership, Not Unionism, Fails

Thus did Communism fulfill its promise of "jobs, security, democracy, prosperity" for this worker, and for thousands of his kind scattered through diversified industries from coast to coast. The workers in most instances did not recognize that they were the victims of clever Reds. They heard it repeated often enough, but the rascals themselves taught the workers to repel such charges as "Red-baiting."

The C.I.O. gave great promise of great things at its birth. It did serve one great purpose; it awakened unionism to the plight of the mass production worker, the man without a craft doomed to servitude because there was a limitless supply of unskilled labor ready to compete for the job he held. But it failed miserably because its leaders failed of their ideals. They showed themselves more concerned with the worker's dues-dollar than with his welfare. And they showed themselves more concerned with the political strength shown by Communists than with the true democratic strength of the men they represented.

U. A. W. JOINS WITH A. F. L.

Martin Announces Result of Ballot

DETROIT, MICHIGAN—The United Automobile Workers Union, which broke from the American Federation of Labor to join the C.I.O. in 1935, returned to this parent union this week as nearly 70,000 workers voted in favor of affiliation.

The vote, announced by President Homer Martin of the U.A.W., was 66,768 for affiliation, and 3,370 against. Martin said that all of the votes cast were those of members in good standing. He said the union's total paid-up membership approximated 200,000.

The A. F. of L., making progressive strides in the face of great defections from the C.I.O., will add 10,000 workers, chartered in 22 federal unions, to the U.A.W. membership.

Martin, commenting on the vote, said: "We have been recognized by the A.F.L. as an autonomous industrial union. By this step we have done our part toward unifying the forces of the American labor movement, on the basis of democratic, responsible unionism."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Nazi 'Persecution' of Church Spreading

Archbishop's Palace Confiscated, Radio Closed to Religious Broadcasts; Curb On Bible Sales

BERLIN, GERMANY — Evidence continues to mount that the Nazi government of the Third Reich—headed by Propaganda Minister Goebbels, Dr. Rosenberg, and Reichminister Kerrl of the Ministry of Public Enlightenment and Bureau of Ecclesiastical Affairs—is progressively tightening the reins on Christians in an ill-conceived attempt to control the Church, and religion in general, by restrictive strangulation.

Radio broadcasts of religious services have now been banned and a curb put on the sale of Bibles and all church tracts. The order affecting Bibles was proclaimed by the Reich's "literary chamber," another adjunct of the Goebbels propaganda ministry. It provides that Bibles and religious tracts may be put on display only in "confessional book stores." These are operated by officials of the Protestant Confessional (Evangelical Lutheran) Church. The order also directs that Bibles may be sold in general book stores only when specifically ordered.

Palace of Austrian Archbishop Seized

Another news dispatch of recent days that casts discredit upon the Nazi regime was the seizure of the residence of Archbishop Sigismund Waitz of Salzburg.

Last October Archbishop Waitz was deprived of the privilege of supervising religious education in the elementary schools of the Salzburg district.

About a month ago the Archbishop was notified by the Nazi's Austrian Governor Buerckle that he must leave his residence as Storm Troopers were in need of a building. The primate wrote a personal letter to Chancellor Hitler asking him to countermand the rigorous order inasmuch as Emperor Francis II of Austria had ceded the property to the Church in 1805 and it has been in ecclesiastical possession ever since. No reply was received.

Recently the Archbishop was absent on a religious mission. On his return he found that all the furnishings of his residence had been removed to a warehouse, after a complete photographic record of the interior had been made by the efficient Nazi officials. It was explained that Emperor Franz Josef had neglected to give the Church a deed, hence the house still belonged to Germany.

As a gesture to soften the mounting anger of the people, the Nazi government offered to permit the aged primate to return temporarily into three rooms of the residence until he could make other arrangements. Troops are occupying the balance of the home, however, and the Archbishop refused the insulting offer under such circumstances.

Cardinal Faulhaber's Warning Fulfilled

More than two months ago the Ministry of Public Enlightenment and the Bureau of Ecclesiastical Affairs issued orders that all confessional schools in the dioceses of Cologne and Aix la Chappelle must be perverted into Nazi schools. The ministry ordered that all signs of religion, including the crucifix, be taken out of the schoolrooms and that religious teachers be supplanted with state teachers. Religious instruction in these schools has been banned by edict for two years.

Two years ago, Cardinal Faulhaber warned the citizens of the Reich that if the government would ever remove the crucifixes from schoolrooms, the Reich would destroy itself in an orgy of paganism. It seems that the cardinal's prophecies are being fulfilled; for the pagan administrators of the Reich have struck deliberately at two of the largest dioceses of the Church in Germany, and in districts with a predominately Catholic population.

The Ministry of Ecclesiastical Affairs, headed by Dr. Kerrl, placed a Nazi supervisor in charge of the monastery and shrine at Bornhofen am Rhine, one of the greatest shrines in Germany. In Austria, the Nazi governor, Buerckle, ordered that a Nazi administrator should be placed over the famous Benedictine abbey of Gottweig. Here several monks were arrested on charges of "maladministration and fraudulent practices," in an attempt to rationalize the action of Buerckle in placing a Nazi administrator over the monastery.

Long List of Persecutions

This Benedictine monastery, founded in 1072, is one of the largest in Austria. The Reich had long been casting covetous eyes at its lands and properties, acres of well-kept woods and vineyards. For all practical purposes the pagan-dominated Nazi regime has confiscated and socialized these ecclesiastical properties, operating them for the profit and aggrandizement of the Reich State.

The Reich has refused to grant passports to German students who were pursuing studies for the priesthood in the Franciscan preparatory seminary at Watersleyde, Holland. The student enrollment dropped from 150 to 25 and the students remaining are exiles from the Reich residing in Holland. Recently, the Reich refused to grant passports to Franciscan students for the priesthood who expressed their desire to serve in the foreign missions of Brazil.

Some friar priests have asked for passports to Spain and Brazil, but upon being refused they have left the Reich. Persecution in Germany has resulted in an influx of missionaries to Spain and Mexico; thus oppression of the Church in Germany at least serves to exalt the Church in other lands.

Although the Franciscan Fathers of Kelheim are now at liberty; the monastery, a new and commodious building, is still held by the government. The priests of the monastery were acquitted of the trumped-up charges of "hoarding and maladministration," when the pastor of the monastery died in prison and excited the anger of the Catholic populace against the Nazi government. To stem this tide of opposition created by the death of the martyr pastor, the Ministry of Ecclesiastical Affairs ordered the acquittal and release of the friar priests.

A studied attempt is being made on the part of the Nazi government to replace 22,000 Catholic nuns and 10,691 Protestant Sisters of the Inner Mission with the "Brown Sisters of the National Socialist Party" in the 2,440 hospitals of the Reich.

At present there are only 751 Brown Sisters, although there are 4,420 Red Cross sisters and 6,112 "free" sisters. The central organization of the "free" sisters, as well as the Red Cross, are now under Nazi leadership, and it is only a matter of time until these, too, will be merged with the so-called Brown Sisters.

It is regarded as questionable whether the Nazi State regime will be able to replace 32,891 Catholic and Protestant nursing sisters.

'Popular Front' Regime in Chile Planning Seizures

SANTIAGO, CHILE—The "popular front" government of Chile has gone into action on a program of confiscation.

For the benefit of those new readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE who might have been deceived by newspaper propaganda, we hasten to explain that a "popular front" government is usually one of decided leftist leanings with a strongly developed complex for all things of, by and for Moscow! The classic example, outside Soviet Russia, was in Barcelona, Spain, where a so-called "popular front" usurped governmental power only to precipitate a devastating reign of terror.

The outstanding example in the new world is, of course, Mexico, which already has expropriated $400-million worth of oil properties.

Chile now follows Mexico's example. Finance Minister Roberto Wachholtz has issued orders to the effect that all foreign oil companies must liquidate their distribution organizations by September 3rd. This is also in line with the action of Bolivia which acted similarly when it was in the hands of a "popular front" government several years ago.

The companies at present affected are the West India Oil Company, subsidiary of the Standard Oil Company of New Jersey, and Shellmex of Chile, a subsidiary of the Royal Dutch Shell.

The order of Minister Wachholtz is only the prelude to expropriation to be followed by a State monopoly as now exists in Russia. Employes of the above-named companies have already been given their dismissal notices.

Poland Votes War Dictator

Catholic Hierarchy Pledges Loyalty to National Defense Program

WARSAW, POLAND—Two significant developments marked the news week in Poland.

The first was the Polish government's resort to drastic legislation which set up machinery for military dictatorship, to become effective automatically in the event of war.

The second was a pledge of allegiance by the Polish hierarchy of the Catholic Church, following a meeting of six archbishops and 17 bishops, presided over by Cardinal Hlond.

The bill for military dictatorship gives President Ignace Moscicki power to authorize the dictatorship, without further consent of parliament, simply by declaring the nation to be in a state of war. The dictatorial powers would fall upon the supreme military commander, who most likely will be Marshal Edward Smigly-Rydz, inspector general of the army, and "strong man" of Poland.

Danzig, the tension center, is behind this extraordinary action taken by Poland. The Free City, sentimentally German, is economically dependent on Polish trade. The situation has been described admirably by President Greiser of the Danzig senate: *"Our hearts are German, but our stomachs are Polish!"*

The statement of the Polish hierarchy is so crystal clear that it needs no comment:

"In spite of human errors, we have honored God in the rebirth of our land. We wish to honor Him still more faithfully.

"We have destroyed atheistic Communism from among our people; we cannot allow that an anti-Christian culture should be propagated in our land. Now we must defend ourselves from the neo-paganism of our neighbors. However, the faithful must not allow themselves to be influenced by calumnies, provocation or panic.

"As a result of the violations of the moral law, it is only a strong army that can guarantee security of frontiers, the rule of law and national independence.

"We shall enthusiastically collaborate with the civil power, and we shall fulfill all the orders that will be given us for the defense of our country."

The message ends by associating Poland with the appeal for peace of the Holy Father, while remaining determined to defend their just interests.

Stalin Decrees Penalties as Lash to Farm Workers

MOSCOW, U.S.S.R. — Another scheme that counters human nature has failed in the U.S.S.R. A drastic decree has just been issued by Joseph Stalin and Premier Vyacheslaff Molotov citing severe penalties for those who do not work sufficiently on the collective farms.

When the first five-year plan was inaugurated, all farms were on a collective basis. But after the peasants saw the yield of their labors, the wheat sent out of the country to world markets, so the internationalists could profiteer, and the farmers were left to starve, they refused to work. More than 3-million were killed and more millions were starved to death.

To remedy this situation, the Communists decided to give the peasants small household garden plots from which they could feed themselves. Part of the time was to be spent in their own gardens and the rest of their time on the collective farms. Naturally, the farmers gave their best efforts to their own plot and some, no doubt, decided to expand their own plots.

Now, Stalin says, the collective farms come first, despite the shortage of food and clothing.

According to persons who lately have returned from the Soviet "Paradise," there was an acute shortage of such essentials as bread, potatoes, cabbage, meat, and even cheap cigarettes. Men's shoes sell for $35 a pair; tea is $10.21 a pound; butter, $11.81 a pound; eggs, $5.32 a dozen. Even when one has the money to purchase, and few peasants ever have, the quality is most inferior. Even the Soviet press admits that vegetables are a luxury.

Communism has failed in Russia and is only maintained by the iron first of the brute Commissars who control the people by terrorism of the G.P.U. and their hold on the means of production—including the land which they stole from the people.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Ford: 'Not a Candidate'

HENRY FORD

LANCASTER, PA.—Henry Ford, the world's most famous manufacturer of motor cars, is not a candidate for the presidency or "for any other public office."

Mr. Ford made this known here in a message to the Lancaster League for Better Government, an organization sponsored by Franklin and Marshall College.

The motor maker had been asked by officers of the club if he would run for President so that "big business might have an opportunity to demonstrate its system of government."

"I am not interested in becoming a candidate for any political office," said Mr. Ford in his telegram to the organization.

Behind THE NEWS

★

by "Yours Truly"

An Open Letter To the President

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT:

We notice that you have demanded that Congress appropriate nearly $2,-billion for the W.P.A. Your request comes at a time when the W.P.A. is being investigated by a special House Committee.

Both Senate and House committees have previously revealed how political commissars of the W.P.A. have deprived many citizens of their constitutional freedom of suffrage. In some instances the unemployed are forced to donate part of their relief pay to the political campaign of some administration - favored candidate; and small contractors and truckers are forced to "kick back" to politicians.

* * *

The W.P.A. has plumbed the depths of profligate spending and extravagant waste. It squandered twice as much on its soviet building at the world's fair as a private contractor or even another government agency would have spent on the same architectural aberration.

Recently, the W.P.A. published a voluminous book of nude portraits and photographs. When questioned about the obscene publication the directors of the W.P.A. project explained that the studies in nudity "were intended for W.P.A. artists." . . . The W.P.A. also has published a book which has met with a storm of criticism throughout the nation. The language is obscene, the scenes portrayed immoral and the theme of the entire story degraded. It seems that these Red writers have in mind the same disintegration of faith and corruption of morals in America as their writing comrades in Russia.

* * *

Congressional investigation has disclosed that the heads of many W.P.A. cultural projects are Communists. In defiance of the fears instilled into their hearts by Communist commissars, project workers have admitted being forced to contribute to the Communist cause to obtain and hold their position. A part of their pay is passed back every week into a Communist union.

It would be valuable and interesting to the American people for a Congressional committee to discover how much of the taxpayers money, extorted by Government for relief and recovery, has been diverted through the W.P.A. alone to aid the cause of Communism by subsidizing publications and other propaganda enterprises.

We W.P.A. workers are sick and tired of receiving soviet doles and handouts, disgusted with the antics of these project czars and commissars, distrustful of an administration which experiments with us as with so many guinea pigs and resentful of government stooges and satellites who exploit our unemployment, abuse our poverty and profiteer in our helplessness.

Yours Truly

F. D. R. Still Fighting for 'Cash-Carry'

WASHINGTON, D. C.—President Roosevelt has not abandoned his fight for scrapping of United States neutrality, and *if he fails to get his will in the present Congress, he will carry on early in the next.*

This become evident during the past week as Senate leaders prepared the road to adjournment. They reasoned that if the President leaves on his cross-country tour in mid-June, he will make a bold fight for neutrality-scrapping before Congress adjourns. On the other hand, if he postpones his tour until July, he will agree to wait until next January to knife the statute designed to keep us out of war.

Congressional observers are agreed that if Mr. Roosevelt makes a fight of it, and offers to battle Congress for the right to pick "aggressors" in foreign scraps, with a view to selling arms and supplies to their opponents, then Congress will be delayed at least a month, if not longer, in seeking adjournment.

Loud clamor for neutrality legislation, along the administration line, was begun a week ago by Secretary of State Cordell Hull. He was echoed in his demands by Senator Key Pittman, chairman of the important Senate Foreign Relations Committee, and lickspit administration backer.

These men demand the "cash-and-carry" bill, which would repose in the President the right to name "aggressors" and work with their opponents. It is obvious that this moral and physical support not only would *tend toward* involvement in a foreign conflict, it actually *would be* involvement in a foreign conflict.

At the same time the battle lines were forming. Senator Bennett Champ Clark of Missouri, and Senator Gerald P. Nye of North Dakota—both loud pleaders for strict neutrality—challenged the war-makers by announcing that they would filibuster any effort to pass the "cash-and-carry" bill.

Indictments Seen for Hollywood Movie Heads

Atty. Gen. Murphy Also Seeking Spies

MR. MURPHY

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Indication that the United States Department of Justice will institute proceedings against several top flight executives of Hollywood's movie industry was given here last week by Attorney General Frank Murphy.

The Attorney General, returning from a coast-to-coast tour in the interests of agencies under the jurisdiction of the Justice Department, said that "six or seven" executives would be named in the pending proceedings. Of this number, he characterized some as top ranking men; acknowledged leaders in this industry which has brought justifiable criticism upon itself in late years.

Proceedings will be instituted, it is understood, on labor matters and income tax. Speculation is that the income tax affairs—the same count upon which the Attorney General moved against Moe Annenberg and brought about the conviction of Tom Pendergast—will constitute the chief weapon in the Attorney General's assault on Hollywood.

Movies 'In Bad' for Smut, Propaganda

The movie industry, having brought itself into bad repute successively through production of smutty and suggestive motion pictures, and then through pictures having a most obviously leftist slant, drew the unremitting opposition of the Roman Catholic Church, through the agency it founded, the Legion of Decency.

Then, to add to the black eye it already had received, the industry was peppered with needed criticism early this year in the convictions arising from the smuggling scandals in which several Hollywood movie and radio notables—Jack Benny and George Burns among them—were involved.

At the same time Attorney General Murphy revealed that his department was beginning a powerful assault on foreign spies, believed to be operating along the Pacific. Speaking of the west coast, which he surveyed with J. Edgar Hoover, chief of the "G-Men," the Attorney General said, *"lines out there have been tightened for very specific reasons.*

Aviation Sabotage To Be Probed

"We took adequate measures because of the geography there and military, naval and aviation centers. We are going to see that there isn't any laxity."

The Attorney General did not state whether there might be a tie-up between the spy activity and pending action against movie notables. *He did assert that reports of sabotage at aviation plants were being tracked down.* Army officials, at the same time, began a closer check on aliens employed in aviation plants.

Old Age Plan Loses Fight In Congress

WASHINGTON, D. C.—America's old folks, faithful followers of the aged Dr. Francis E. Townsend, were dealt a rude blow when several Congressmen who had accepted their support, violated campaign pledges and voted against the Townsend bill.

The measure was killed in the House of Representatives in a 302 to 97 vote, as self-seeking politicians failed in an effort to avoid a record vote on the issue.

The vote marked the end of a six-year trail for thousands of Townsendites who have supported the plan with their activities and contributions. For six years Congress dodged the measure, and last fall many Congressmen were elected on their pledge to work for passage of the bill.

Dr. Townsend himself was not crestfallen at the result. "I didn't expect to win here," he said upon being interviewed in the spectators' gallery immediately after the vote. He pointed out later that the vote in the House was taken "under the most adverse conditions which the well-entrenched opponents of our legislation could devise." He added that Townsend leadership would rearrange its battle plans and prepare for 1940.

The Townsend plan, called uneconomic by administration leaders, would provide a $200 monthly pension for all over 60 years of age. Agitation for the bill points to the obvious need of financial reform in the United States, a reform that will return to Congress its right to coin money and regulate its value.

Senator Byrd Sees National Unity Threat

GETTYSBURG, PA.—Class hatred and racial and religious prejudice threaten national unity more than it ever was threatened by sectional differences. This thought was delivered on this Civil War battlefield here by Senator Harry F. Byrd, of Virginia.

Senator Byrd, first Southerner ever to speak at Memorial Day exercises here, pleaded for stronger local government and less Federal government, and stated that the erasing of sectional differences can mean but little in the face of government failure.

"What will it accomplish us," Senator Byrd asked his listeners, "to achieve sectional unity, only to be divided by economic dissension?

"A liberal and democratic government does not mean being liberal with other people's money."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Complete Text of Suppressed Statement of Maj. Gen. Moseley

(Continued from Page 3)

to the contrary. From coast to coast our people were fed with the most unfortunate kind of propaganda. With the war over, what are the facts? I quote the following from one of our national dailies:

"It took the last days of the Spanish war to strip the mask of democracy off the face of Communism in the so-called 'Loyalist' government.

"The cleverly named 'Loyalists' had a lot of people deceived for a long time, particularly in the United States.

"But when the last vestige of ideological camouflage and subterfuge has been removed, the fact that Communists had been running the Loyalist government of Spain stands out clearly and incontrovertibly.

"The deluded and misguided Americans who have been giving their money and lending their names and reputations to the cause of 'Loyalist' Spain, thinking they were aiding democracy, must now realize that they were misled into supporting a Communist enterprise.

"American educators and scientists and clergymen who thought they were upholding the cause of democracy must now at last understand that they have been stooges for Communism.

"These Americans have been the victims of a brazen sham and a most deliberate fraud.

"They have been in the company of the desecrators of churches and religious institutions, the despoilers of the sacred emblems and symbols of a people's faith, the mutilators and murderers of priests and nuns.

"They have given moral support and financial aid to revolution and anarchy conceived in Red Russia.

"They have abetted the spread of atheism and godlessness, by which Communism has striven to undermine civilization and to turn people from their faith in God." — (*Atlanta Georgian, March 13, 1939.*)

I ask you, wouldn't it have been better and fairer to our people to have given them the facts in reference to the Spanish situation from day to day? With these examples before us, wouldn't it be better for us to enlighten the American people fully and frankly in reference to the serious problems confronting *us* today?

President Roosevelt Suppressed Inquiry

When I stated yesterday that the Army is not permitted to investigate Communist activities, I was not merely surmising. The *Congressional Record* of Sept. 10, 1935, (Vol. 79, pp. 15270-1), published a *"Naval Intelligence Memorandum"* of April 1, 1935, which described itself as "a comprehensive survey of Communist activities in the United States at the present time," including "fringe revolutionists too lacking in intestinal fortitude to go all the way with Communism, but who stand by and urge the Communist to do his worst, and provide him with protection, sympathy and defense while he commits the overt act they have not the courage to commit themselves."

In less than three months thereafter, the *New York Times* of Dec. 7, 1935, carried an article regarding this subject stating:

"Following a conference with representatives of religious organizations, President Roosevelt has instructed the Army and Navy to make no comments on any civilian organization or its policies without his specific consent, it was declared today by the National Conference of Jews and Christians."

There has never been any denial of the matter set forth in this article *nor is there any evidence of any investigation of Communist activities by either the Army or the Navy since then.*

Urged to Confer With Banker Strauss

Now, let us come to my letter involving Mr. Louis L. Strauss, member of Kuhn, Loeb and Company, New York bankers. Let us expose that correspondence fully and completely to the light of day. I shall be glad to leave decision in the hands of the American people. Given the facts, their reactions, I find, are fair and just. The trouble today is that too often the facts are withheld from them and tainted propaganda is given out instead. The following letter is self-explanatory. I shall read it in full:

"April 17, 1939

"Major General George V. H. Moseley
Atlanta, Georgia.

"Dear General Moseley:

"Writing to you recalls to mind our service in France you at G.H.Q. and I as G-1, 79th Division and it seems good to get in touch with you again.

"General I thought that I would drop you a line to find out if you planned in the near future to be in New York or in the vicinity I would like to arrange if you would be so gracious, to meet Mr. Lewis L. Strauss who is a Member of the firm of Kuhn, Loeb and Co New York Bankers.

"I have known Mr. Strauss for some years and know many of his friends he is a most charming gentleman, of attractive personality and a real loyal American, was I think closely associated with President Roosevelt, holds a Naval Reserve Commission. Mr. Strauss stands high in the community, is President of one of the largest and most influential churches in New York, has a host of friends, General Harbord knows him well and I think you would spend a most profitable and enjoyable time with him.

"Mr. Strauss is most anxious to have a chat with you as he has been greatly distressed at quoted statements of yours in the press regarding his firm and which of course are not true as he can prove, and both he and I know that you will be most favorably convinced of the loyalty and true American spirit and actions of his firm and members thereof both in the past as well as now, and a chat of that kind would be not only fair, but I feel certain to your mutual interest. Knowing you as I do to be so fair, just, and honest I said that I bet that you would gladly meet him.

"Thanking you in advance for this courtesy and if you will let me know where and when we can meet it will be very much appreciated.

"With kindest personal regards and all good wishes, I remain,

Sincerely,
(Signed)
Walter A. DeLamater
Brigadier General, N.Y.N.G."

General Declines to Meet Strauss

And here is my answer:

"Atlanta Biltmore,
Atlanta, Georgia.
April 21, 1939.

"Brigadier General Walter
A. DeLamater,
Headquarters Eighty-seventh Brigade,
New York National Guard,
Park Avenue and Thirty-fourth Street,
New York, New York.

"My dear General:

"Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of the seventeenth instant, in reference to a meeting with Mr. Lewis L. Strauss, member of the firm, Kuhn, Loeb and Company, New York Bankers. The matter to which he refers is only a very small incident in a world-wide problem.

"In the work I am trying to do, I am only interested in one thing, and that is the future of my country. That involves material questions which should be secondary, and American manpower and breeding which are, after all, primary and all important.

"The condition of American manpower at any given moment is the barometer of our ability to go on as a Republic.

"Initially I never mentioned the word, 'Jew', in any statement, except once in New York City, (Indianapolis, Indiana) when I made an appeal to the Jews to help us lick Communism. From that moment they have attacked me at every turn in the road. In their publications they call me a Fascist, something I am not; and as far as I know, I have never seen a Fascist. I belong to no organization. The Jews have forced me to discuss the problem of the Jew in self-defense. They are doing everything in their power in an effort to intimidate me and to force me into the camp of their enemies. The feeling against the Jews is growing rapidly from coast to coast. History is repeating itself, and the Jews are making the same mistake that they have always made in actually compelling history to repeat itself.

"Whether it is right or wrong, the Jews must bring themselves to the realization that the one hundred twenty millions of people composing this nation are not going to be run by Jews, in whole nor in part.

Jews Out to Get Moseley Ever Since

"In a few days, I am speaking in the Middle West. A letter comes this morning telling me that the Jews are moving heaven and earth to prevent it. They have done that several times before. They have gone so far as to threaten me with bodily harm. Do the Jews think that that makes for friendship towards them? In all they are doing, they are simply promoting anti-Semitism. It all goes to make us realize that many a Jew in our midst is an actual menace, a fomenter of Communism and anarchy.

"I go to New York quite often, but I do not care to meet Mr. Strauss nor any other Jew or Jews, until they put a definite stop to the action of the Jews who are attempting to curtail my freedom of action and my freedom of speech.

"My mother was an Englishwoman, but I often have occasion to criticize the British and British policy as far as America is concerned. There is no difficulty when one criticizes the British, the Irish, the Germans or the Italians; but let him mention the word, 'Jew', and immediately he is attacked. But, the fact is that the most serious problem confronting America today is just this problem of the Jew and how to get rid of his influence definitely — locally, nationally and internationally.

"The Jews may feel that they are in the ascendancy now; that they can beat the game this time. No, the American people are slow to act; it is difficult for them to sense the insincerity and dishonesty of propaganda, whether that propaganda comes from their government or from the outside. Slowly, however, they are becoming aroused to the dangers of the present situation, and when they act, they will act in no uncertain terms.

"If I were the best friend the Jews have in the world, my advice to them would be to change their plans before it is too late!

"I am enclosing a carbon of this letter so that Mr. Strauss may have it in full.

Very sincerely yours,
(Signed)
GEORGE VAN HORN MOSELEY."

Exposes Plot to Wreck U S. Arsenals

That closed the incident as far as I was concerned. It struck me that my letter was rather a complete answer, easily understood.

My letter to General DeLamater was dated April 21, 1939, and mailed on that day, I believe. How greatly was I surprised, then, in reading in one of those highly confidential reports furnished by J. E. Campbell, and dated April 26, 1939, the following statements:

"This, Gentlemen, is the Meranus Plan. It might interest you to know that we have tried to find Moseley's price. We even tried to arrange a meeting in Sir Bill's office, but were unsuccessful. Other means will be used to render him harmless, as we cannot tolerate his actions. He is becoming a menace to us."

The same report continued:

"In closing, he also said Carp's plans for taking over or wrecking arsenals, depots or arms factories had been so well advanced that any Franco type of Nationalist uprising in U. S. could be crushed at start, due to lack of munitions. (Carp, is Litvinoff's of Russia, brother-in-law)."

I have no objection to that statement, nor to the plan indicated to liquidate me, but the incident checks up so accurately with my letter of April 21, 1939, that I read the remainder of the report very carefully. The following was included in the same report:

"Example: Our enemy, Gen. Craig, will soon retire. If after he does, he should suddenly, due to acquiring heart trouble, or because of acute indigestion of some sort, change his address to—Arlington! We would be well rid of him. We cannot afford any more Moseleys!"

[EDITOR'S NOTE: The reference to "Arlington" is to the United States Military Cemetery at Washington D. C. The reference to Gen. Malin Craig "acquiring heart trouble" is not even subtle; it is a direct threat of un-American subversive forces to get rid of him.]

I reported this matter, confidentially, to General Craig, giving him a copy of General DeLamater's letter to me and my reply in reference to the proposed meeting with Mr. Strauss. I have since had an acknowledgement from General Craig.

Father Coughlin Marked for Purge

From another secret report dated April 20, 1939, I take the following which will be of interest to this Committee:

" . . . I would like to announce right now, that in the face of the attack of the Dies Committee, the League for Peace and Democracy now has a membership of 4,025,920 members. The largest in its history. 2,814,372 of them are young men of military age, who will join the Peoples Army under No. 2 plan; or under No. 3 when time is right, will make possible a Soviet America. In either case, they can be counted on to purge the country of the Moseleys, the Coughlins, the Red Baiters, and all other Anti-Semites . . . "

As already stated, this Committee has heretofore found to what high places in the government, Communism has penetrated. It is interesting to note how many of these individuals, also, are members of, or connected with, organized Jewry. If this Committee is interested in determining definitely the power of the Communists, in connection with the campaign for economic planning and regimentation, locally, nationally and internationally, it should study the organizations of the various planning boards, noting down the names of the directors of each and seeing how these directorates interlock, so that the final control remains in the hands of a very few. Such a study will give quite a clear view of the problem and the difficulties involved; also, it will indicate in what organized group the power lies.

It is not generally realized how complete is the *Jewish State* as it is organized and operating *within* the United States — a nation complete within a nation. Your inquiry should include an investigation of the con-

(Concluded on Page 12)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



AN ANSWER TO Father Coughlin's Critics

***By* Ben Marcin**

A FOREWORD

ON SUNDAY, APRIL 21, 1935, in a nation-wide broadcast, the Most Rev. Michael J. Gallagher, Bishop of Detroit, said: "In conclusion, let me repeat, I pronounce Father Coughlin sound in doctrine, able in its application and interpretation. Freely I give him my 'imprimatur' on his written word. Freely I give my approval on the spoken word. May both be circulated without objection throughout the land. Under my jurisdiction, he preaches the just codes of the old law and its commandments. He teaches Christ and the Fathers and the Doctors of the Church. He preaches the Encyclicals and applies them as he has been ordered to do. Father Coughlin preaches the doctrine of social justice to all. Remember the words of St. Paul: *'There is neither Greek nor Jew, bond nor free, male nor female, but you are all one in Christ Jesus our Lord.'*

"Until a lawful superior rules otherwise, I stand steadfastly behind this priest, Father Coughlin, encouraging him to do the will of God as he sees it, and as I see it, each according to his lights."

On November 2nd, 1936, the Most Rev. Michael J. Gallagher telegraphed to Father Coughlin as follows: "I did not say, nor do I have any intention of interfering with your radio activities in proclaiming the social teachings of Leo and Pius and informing on the functions of government and the dangers that threaten us both from within and from abroad. In other words, I consider you a national institution, invaluable to the safeguarding of genuine Americanism and true Christianity. And I hope you will live long to carry out this sublime vocation."

The above are words from the lips of Father Coughlin's one-time lawful superior. As yet Archbishop Mooney, Bishop Gallagher's successor, has not repudiated these words nor condemned Father Coughlin.

Called a 'Great Priest and Patriot'

In October, 1936, the Rev. Dr. Edward Lodge Curran, president of the International Catholic Truth Society, addressed the Catholic Lawyers' Guild of the diocese of Brooklyn in the presence of Bishop Thomas E. Molloy. He said of Father Coughlin: "a great priest, a great patriot who has not been afraid to issue the greatest call to social Catholic action that the world has ever heard of."

At about the same time Monsignor Fulton J. Sheen addressed a dinner of high church dignitaries, including an Apostolic Delegate and an American Cardinal, and he said: "We must go down to the poor and unemployed and build up as strong a Christian proletariat as they would a Communist proletariat."

That is what Father Coughlin endeavored to do.

The Rev. Owen Francis Dudley, reporting to a British Catholic audience on his lecture trip to America, said: "The questioning after every lecture was very heavy . . . Spain . . . predominated and of course, Father Coughlin whose popularity with the American public—Catholic and non-Catholic—is as wide as any individual could achieve." — (Rev. Dudley, *The Catholic Times*, London, England, March 24, 1939.)

Catholic Editors Record Tribute

Light, official publication of the International Catholic Truth Society, contained the following appreciation of Father Coughlin and his work: "Father Coughlin has performed a task that entitles him to the gratitude of humanity. He has blazed the way. Sometimes, unfortunately, he has come unto his own and his own have received him not. He has always remained the priest. At Royal Oak he has always grown more spiritually tender over the little wooden church than over the magnificent Shrine in stone ascending by its side. In the heat of oratory he may have given vent to flaming statements; for these he has apologized. He need not apologize, however, for the magnificent work which he has done for the cause of social justice in America . . .

"He stands as one of the greatest crusaders against Communism in America today. Future generations, more numerous than the millions who know him and love him now will enshrine him among the great."—(Issue October, 1936.)

Dr. Patrick Scanlon, editor of the *Tablet*, which is recognized universally as the leading Catholic paper in America, has always been loud in his praise of Father Coughlin and his work for Christianity and Americanism. Dr. Scanlon, who has been acclaimed as a leading Catholic editor, scholar and Catholic Actionist, has constantly published the addresses of Father Coughlin in their entirety, which fact is sufficient indication of his and his hundred thousand readers' admiring appreciation of Father Coughlin and of his work.

The fact that Communist created and controlled organizations, publications and spokesmen have conducted a smearing campaign of Father Coughlin and his work is ample indication and sufficient proof that Father Coughlin is recognized even by his enemies as an outstanding Christian and American. In pamphlets, leaflets, radio programs, lectures and mass meetings, the Communists and their sympathizers have expended millions of dollars to descredit Father Coughlin and his work for social justice.

A BOOK ENTITLED *"Father Coughlin—His 'Facts' and Arguments,"* published jointly by the American Jewish Committee, the B'nai B'rith, the American Jewish Congress and the Jewish Labor Committee (which four organizations are the chief constituents of the General Jewish Council) was the spearhead of a vicious attack made by the Jews of the United States—officially—against Father Coughlin.

The book referred to charges that:

1. Father Coughlin misquotes. To substantiate this charge reference was made to *The American Hebrew* magazine, to the *"British White Paper,"* etc.

2. That Father Coughlin falsely accuses Jewish bankers of financing Communism. To substantiate his charge reference was made to the *"Sisson Report,"* the Lansing telegram, the Kerensky revolution in March, 1917, etc.

3. That Father Coughlin errs when he says Jews played an important part in the Bolshevik revolution in the autumn of 1917 and thereafter.

4. That Father Coughlin is a Nazi agent.

Moreover, the General Jewish Council book maintains that Jews have opposed Communism; that Naziism is not a political defense mechanism against Communism.

Many thousands of copies of this book were sent to prominent citizens throughout the world. It is an official Jewish book, bear in mind, insofar as it was published by an official Jewish body in the United States—as official as would be a Catholic book if it were published jointly by the bishops of the United States.

This calumnious book demands an answer and a refutation. It is a tissue of falsehoods, of subterfuges, of sophistries and of misstatements.

Following the publication of this official Jewish book, scarcely one Jewish magazine refrained from attacking Father Coughlin viciously.

Father Coughlin has the right to defend himself. But, guided by the principle that self-defense is no defense, he has chosen to remain silent. The author of this answer, collaborating with a group of Father Coughlin's fellow priests hereby challenges the malicious charges launched against our friend at Royal Oak, Michigan—and will answer these slanders so that truth will conquer falsehood.

We are not deceived; we recognize that the Jews are not attacking Father Coughlin alone. It is evident that many of them are attacking the entire priesthood together with Christianity itself.

To substantiate that charge, we quote the following indictment from *"Why Is the Jew Persecuted Today?"* by Leo Kaul, a Jew: "When we trace back anti-Semitism to its original source, we find that it was called into being by the Church of Rome!" (Page 14.)

Quoting from the same book (page 15) we read: "No greater slander, no more malicious calumny, no more cruel defamation has ever been perpetrated against any people, than did Rome commit when it accused the Jew of having crucified Jesus."

With this preface, therefore, SOCIAL JUSTICE presents the first of Mr. Marcin's series of articles entitled, *"An Answer to Father Coughlin's Critics."* Subsequent chapters will appear in next week's SOCIAL JUSTICE.

—THE EDITOR.

CHAPTER ONE

Who Attacks Father Coughlin?

Notes on the Component Parts of the General Jewish Council

THE AUTHORS OF the General Jewish Council pamphlet describe B'nai B'rith as "a national fraternal society with over 75,000 members."

Ludwig Lewisohn declared at a recent B'nai B'rith banquet: "B'nai B'rith represents Jewry throughout the world."—(*B'nai B'rith*, July 1937, p. 352.)

Moreover, the spokesman for B'nai B'rith (see *B'nai B'rith Magazine*, June, 1938) boasted, "There are more than 450 lodges in the United States and Canada . . . B'nai B'rith serves Jewry."

If the claim of B'nai B'rith that it represents Jewry in thirty countries including the United States is justified, then Jewry is to be pitied, either for misrepresentation by B'Nai B'rith or for its anti-Christian and radical sympathies which are bound to result in anti-Semitic opposition.

The Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith made the following report on its achievements: "He (the secretary) reported that the League had handled 750 cases of anti-Semitism during 1937, in the following catagories: books, 51; education, 28; employment, 41; investigations, 200; magazines and pamphlets, 74; movies and stage, 56; Passion Play, 23; propagandists, 115; radio, 33; resort, hotels, real estate, 44; miscellaneous, 85." That is a poor record considering the money expended by B'nai B'rith and the tremendous advance of anti-Semitism in many countries.

Among the twenty different "experiments in unity" of Jewish Kehillahs in the United States is the following accomplishment (*B'nai B'rith Magazine*, June, 1938, p. 365): "Elimination of Objectionable Religious Practices in Schools: In Bridgeport and Cleveland, *the Councils persuaded public school officials to stop Easter and Christmas practices which had been embarrassing to the Jewish children* and had found serious objection among Jewish parents who had hesitated to deal with the matter individually."

Jewish Unity Stronger Than Religion

The B'nai B'rith magazine (*B'nai B'rith*, No. VII.) comments thus on Judaism as a religion: "But strange (as) is the organization of Judaism as a faith, stranger still is the relationship of Jews to their faith. There are hundreds of thousands of Jews who are unbelievers. Yet they still consider themselves Jews. What sort of a religion is it if those who neglect it still consider themselves Jews? Or more curiously, two years ago certain missionaries—men of Jewish birth who were converted to Christianity and worked to convert other Jews to Christianity—certain missionaries wrote to the Zionist organization demanding the right to participate in the upholding of the Jewish homeland in Palestine. Now, certainly, nobody who was once a Catholic and became a Protestant would insist upon joining the Knights of Columbus. No Protestant who became a Catholic would insist on participating in a purely Protestant endeavor, yet here are men who deliberately have abandoned Judaism and yet want to participate in a Jewish effort. What sort of religion is Judaism if not only those who neglect it, but those who try to convert others away from it, still consider themselves Jews? What, then, is meant when a Jew repeats the thought of the prophet Jonah and says: 'I am a Jew'? What is the relationship between Jewishness and

(Continued on Page 12)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

An Answer to Fr. Coughlin's Critics

(Continued from Page 11)

Judaism? In the light of the peculiarities of Judaism itself, and the strange relationship of Jewish people to it, by what right may we describe ourselves today as a religion?"—(*Ut supra*, p. 7.)

From the above, Jews admit that unbelievers are numerous amongst the Jews. Father Coughlin was not wrong in distinguishing, therefore, religious from irreligious Jews nor was he attacking religion. Still withal, a conscious unity exists between religious and irreligious Jews—a unity so strong that to criticize the irreligious sector, one innocently is charged with criticizing the religious branch as well.

Thus, one no longer is surprised that B'nai B'rith contributed to the base attack contained in the General Jewish Council pamphlet to assail Father Coughlin and to smear his fearless expose of Jewish participation in Communism.

Many Units Active For Communism

To complete this chapter I incorporate a few paragraphs pertinent to the remaining units of the General Jewish Council.

Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, who heads the American Jewish Congress, has a long Red record. His son, James Waterman Wise, is a frequent contributor to Communist magazines.

The Jewish Labor Committee was headed by the late B. Charney Vladeck, Russian-born Socialist, of the A.C.L.U., Red Rand School, left wing pro-Soviet Amalgamated Clothing Workers' Union, and manager of the *Jewish Daily Forward*, a Socialist paper printed in Yiddish.

The Jewish People's Committee, related to the General Jewish Council, is headed by the Communist, William Weiner, head of the Communist Party's fraternal insurance society, International Workers' Order. Its convention, held in Washington, November 19-20, 1937, was reported in *Jewish Life* (January, 1938). "Close to 1,000 delegates representing *½-million* American Jews (emphasis in original) participated in the National Conference and the anti-Polish Pogrom march on Washington under the auspices of the Jewish People's Committee . . . Practically every walk of Jewish life was represented . . A spokesman for Jewish reaction, Dr. S. Margoshes, tried to pooh-pooh the whole conference by saying: 'About a thousand delegates, representing mostly left and Communist-controlled Jewish organizations, assembled in Washington over the week-end'."

The article commented on Dr. Margoshes' statement as follows: "½-million Jews constitute a significant cross-section of American Jewry."

The radical Jewish Labor Committee is hailed and lauded by B'nai B'rith. "It is comforting to know that labor is, under whatever circumstances, ready to be of service to the oppressed and harrassed in Israel. *With the leading Socialist organizations, large trade unions and other labor bodies represented by over 1,000 delegates, this recent conference equalled in size and resembled in character the one that was held at the outbreak of the war.* (1915 Convention representing ½-million Jewish radicals as reported in the Jewish Communal Register 1917-1918.) *It was estimated that the delegates acted and spoke for more than ½-million organized Jewish toilers and spoke for them in behalf of specific Jewish interests but from a distinctly labor point of view . . .*

Leaders Will Not Repudiate Radicals

"To show the world that we have great armies of labor is a very good thing, but to have the same world note that these hosts are largely of a radical frame of mind, is something about which we have in the past been somewhat squeamish. The radical labor view was at any rate fully represented at this gathering where a number of principles relating to the rule of labor in the struggle for the maintenance of full right of Jews. I summarize one or two of these points. 'It is clear to us . . . that the attacks upon us (Jews) of the present day are, after all, closely bound up with the general social struggle, which is gradually encircling the entire world as a conflict between capital and labor . . . It is not the intention of Jewish labor to interfere with the constructive efforts in Jewish life carried on by other groups . . . On the contrary, *it is our aim to strengthen and enforce every important general step through energetic and planned support*'."—(*B'nai B'rith Magazine*, April, 1934.)

Among the officers elected to head the Labor Committee for Jewish Affairs formed at the convention referred to above were: B. C. Vladeck, I. Baskin, David Dubinsky, Joseph Schlossberg, Max Zaritsky and others.

The Daily Worker (April 27, 1938, p. 6), official organ of the Communist Party of America, commenting on Stalin's statement, says in an article on Jews in America: "There the great and universally progressive features of Jewish culture have made themselves clearly felt; its internationalism, its responsiveness to the advanced movements of our times (the percentage of Jews in democratic and proletarian movements is everywhere higher than the percentage of Jews in the general population)."

This quotation confirms the attitude expressed by *B'nai B'rith*. The above quotation is significant in that it praises the radical, socialist Jewish labor group estimated in numbers of ½-million Jews. Little wonder that official Jewry refused to accept Father Coughlin's invitation to oppose Communism.

To conclude, one is not surprised that the General Jewish Council issued the pamphlet, "*Father Coughlin, His 'Facts' and Arguments,*" in a vain effort to smear Father Coughlin, to deny his facts and to destroy his arguments. Who denies the radical tendencies manifested by the component parts of the General Jewish Council?

Who gainsays its radical affiliations?

By refusing to accept an invitation to oppose Communism, we charge the General Jewish Council with aiding the growth of anti-Semitism in America, which true American Christians abominate, by publishing a mean and contemptuous pamphlet which will do incalculable harm to Jews. Personal attacks against Father Coughlin have militated against the Jews and their radical leaders.

With the above characterization this official Jewish organization comes into the court of public judgment with unclean hands.

(To Be Continued)

Moseley Statement

(Continued from Page 10)

trolling organizations of that State. The big four are:

THE AMERICAN JEWISH COMMITTEE
THE AMERICAN JEWISH CONGRESS
THE B'NAI B'RITH (An international fraternal organization; membership open to both Zionist and non-Zionist Jews. This organization does much of the espionage work of the Jews in connection with the ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE)
THE JEWISH LABOR COMMITTEE

There are other powerful organizations of Jews which you will come to as you proceed. Bear in mind, their nation is complete in every respect, including their own courts so prominently displayed recently in the press.

This question of Communism and national and international control *will not be settled until it is thoroughly investigated at the top.* We are not interested in the poor, downtrodden Communist running down the alley, but we are interested in the great power at the top, *and the names of those individuals who control that power.* I have had the temerity to challenge that great octopus and to expose it in an effort to protect our Republic. I wish to see control revert to an honest government and to millions of truly loyal Christian American people.

Every loyal American citizen will support all efforts of your Committee to save and preserve our great Republic by such a frank and thorough exposure of the truth about existing conditions that the people will be on the alert against both revolution and counter-revolution, either of which would jeopardize our Republic's future. Our Republic must not be permitted to be taken over by either Semitism or anti-Semitism. Discrimination or persecution against either Jews or non-Jews is contrary to fundamental Americanism, and this notwithstanding the undeniable fact that our Republic is founded upon the Christian philosophy of life, and has been, on that account, properly called a Christian nation.

Yet it is obviously absurd, and courting national disaster for us to sanction or permit dual or divided loyalties on the part of any group. Consequently, it is self-evident that those Jews who affiliate with organized World Jewry and thereby accept the status of being *its nationals*, as proclaimed by Brandeis, should be denied office-holding, suffrage and all other citizenship rights in the American Republic, just the same as we would deny such rights to *nationals* of Nazi Germany or any other nation or nationality. If American patriots are to be further harassed and persecuted as *un-American*, merely because of their efforts to make known to the public the real truth about the nature and character of organized World Jewry, we should hang a sign on the Statue of Liberty, saying:

"UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES WELCOMED. AMERICAN ACTIVITIES TABOOED!"

A New 'Privileged Class' Rising in the Soviet Union

A NEW PRIVILEGED class is rising in the Soviet Union, which started out as the ideal workers' classless government.

The old czarist intelligentsia was "liquidated" by the firing squad of the workers and peasants. Democracy of intellect was insured by military court martial.

Now, however, a Soviet intelligentsia draws better pay, wears better clothes, looks more prosperous and, in general, leads more comfortable lives than the rank and file of Soviet citizens.

"This Soviet intelligentsia," writes Wade Werner, Associated Press Moscow correspondent, "includes all who have received training in the country's institutions of higher education: Engineers, architects, physicians and surgeons, pharmacists, veterinarians, economists, lawyers, teachers, transport and communications specialists, scientific workers, trained agriculturists and so on."

More than 100,000 of such trained workers were graduated from Soviet institutions during 1938. Other hundreds of thousands already are holding key positions in industry, agriculture and such professions as medicine, education and public administration.

Their enthusiastic co-operation is an important factor in the successful carrying out of government projects so the Soviet government's policy in recent years has been to give them the better salaries, the better living quarters and a larger share of the privileges which cannot be extended to everyone.

This, naturally, has aroused some discontent among workers with a natural antipathy toward people who have no callouses on their hands.

Vigorous support from Stalin himself is the best security for the new intelligentsia, the A.P. dispatch continues. It has been followed up, too, by a newspaper campaign reminding all workers that the new intelligentsia is not to be confused in any way with the old "sabotaging" intelligentsia of revolutionary days.

Too many of the older workers remember when education marked a man for the firing squad. These workers now look askance at the new aristocracy which the Stalinist dictatorship has built up. It takes some of the best propagandists of the Red regime to placate these old timers and convince them that whereas an intelligentsia under the old order was somebody to be taken out and shot, the "new deal" under the proletarian dictatorship is quite respectable. To the uneducated worker the difference is slighter than the distinction.

Typical is an article in the railway workers' newspaper, *Gudok*, which recalls that the "railway intelligentsia" of czarist days was naturally hostile to a workers' and peasants' government because railway executives were recruited from the "exploiters' class."

The institute of transport in those days was training for railway careers a student body consisting of "70 sons of aristocrats, 118 sons of big officials, 30 sons of priests, 69 sons of big merchants, 175 sons of shopkeepers and 167 sons of kulaks (well to do peasants).

"This intelligentsia was, of course, closely bound to the ruling capitalist and land owning classes," continues *Gudok*, "and its members, therefore, were faithful servants of their masters in the exploitation of the working people." There were also a few who came from workers' families, but these "inasmuch as they got their bread and butter from the exploiting classes" also served the interests of the exploiters.

Now, however, young people picked for training as railway executives and transport engineers are drawn from workers' families and therefore, it is stressed, can be relied upon to think and act as members of the working class no matter how important their jobs or how great their authority.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Davie Lawrence Has Some Strange Ideas

Woodrow Wilson's Chum Deals Off '14 Point' Plan for War

WASHINGTON, D. C. — Now don't get excited about it, because the whole issue is about as dead as a veteran of the War of 1812, but our old friend David Lawrence maintains that if we advocate a steer-clear policy in regard to European quarrels, then we are *victims of Nazi propaganda.*

Davie, who used to be Mr. "Lorenz," reached his journalistic maturity in the present generation and was accepted as the voice of arch-Republicanism; a big-business trumpet in the days of Coolidge-Hoover mythical prosperity.

But whether Davie, in propounding this present policy of involvement, is sounding the big-business trumpet, or is squeaking a pipe in the "wind" section of the New Deal Band is not quite clear.

'14 Points'—Not Too Much of a Joke

In this case the analysis is not as important as the fact. Davie, in the *United States News,* a politico-business review which he edits, sets forth in a blazing editorial "14 points" to be considered as a prelude to scrapping neutrality. All who disagree are poor, misguided, backsliding, mentally-starved victims of Nazi propaganda who should be set straight before many more intemperate outbursts are made in behalf of independence for this country.

Now that "14 points" business is not too much of a joke. Davie was a schoolboy when former President Woodrow Wilson was a schoolmaster. In fact the late President was Davie's teacher, and Davie—in a manner of speaking — was the renowned instructor's pet.

When Thomas Woodrow Wilson went to Washington to go into the President business, Davie went along as a sort of public relations counsel for the President. He served during the trying World War days, after serving his apprenticeship and craftsman days with the *Chicago Daily News* under the late Victor Lawson.

When Someone Lands On Our Corns . . .

Thus it is easy to understand how Davie might conceive an airy, evanescent panacea scheme and compress it into "14 points." We'll grant Davie the right to write any doggone peace plan, or war plan, or prosperity plan he pleases, and do him the honor of considering it carefully on its merits. We'll grant him the right to pontificate on his own editorial page with a by-line a mile high, if he wishes. But when he tears off his collar, gets red in the face, and screams that all who seek peace through isolation for this country are Nazi propagandists, or the victims of same, then we must inform Davie that he has done an awful job of corn-mangling by jumping inferentially on our toes.

What Davie condemns — and he makes no qualifications in saying so—is what we in Yankee fashion refer to as the mind-our-own-business attitude in regard to Europe's quarrels. Thus in condemning this policy, Davie conversely asks for a policy in which we would mind other people's business. *Now if that isn't an open invitation to war, one never has been written or given!*

Suppose Old Man Hitler insisted on minding *our* affairs for us? Suppose that "Missing-Link" Stalin tried it, or Chamberlain, or Daladier, or Franco—or anybody else prominent in present-day Europe? We have a little trump card we flip around occasionally. We call it the "Monroe Doctrine." We'd pull it out of our hand and flash it in front of Hitler's nose, or Stalin's, or Chamberlain's, so fast he'd think Houdini was across the table.

The Outlook Is Sad, if True

No sir, we don't want our affairs monitored for us, and it is only fair to assume that Europe's governing gentlemen—and Hitler and Stalin—don't want theirs supervised, city-edited and "copyread" from across the Atlantic either.

Davie says in his "14 points," and repeats it often enough to make it a catchword, that we would be crippled economically if we even so much as attempted isolation. He says hungry millions would be dispossessed of their jobs and livelihood. He says cotton would waste in the fields. He says manufactured exportables would rot in the factories. He says tobacco and wheat would mildew for the want of a foreign buyer. He says farm and industrial workers in droves would be forced on the dole. He says foreign trade associations would raise raw goods prices and thereby raise domestic prices of goods in America. And he says American profits would shrink as those foreigners stole us blind in South America, and elsewhere.

Davie goes a-propagandying when he says that Germany's self-sufficiency is possible only under "the iron discipline" of a dictatorship, and predicts the same for us if we don't police the world. Davie defends Mr. Roosevelt 100 per cent in his ill-advised dip into Europe's peace problem, and asks that we sit in at Europe's conference tables to "assist" them in their every quarrel.

If we don't, he warns, then the dictators will get us, or at least we'll get a dictator.

You Want to Get Shot Don't You Georgie?

Now then, it seems we've given the free-trading policy a fair try, and so far it has given us only a mess of Argentine corned beef, Bata shoes, and Canadian cheese. We still have our awful dole, our awful bread lines, our awful unemployment, and our awful government of regimentation. Davie's panacea, to come from free trade and involvement in foreign affairs, is still about as close as a rocket to the moon.

But Davie reaches the limits of his economic free-wheeling down near the bottom of his barn-burner of an editorial when he asks bluntly and with no apology if we wouldn't prefer *"the so-called horrors of war in which you have a chance to give your life for a just cause,"* to the grave threat of "fascism in our midst."

Pot Shots . . .

We Are Surprised to learn that Mr. Roosevelt and King George VI are cousins. From Mr. Roosevelt's actions we believed they must be brothers.

Well, there's one consolation in accepting the view that the Democrats have patched up their family quarrel. It won't be necessary for us to go to war to give the President a third term excuse.

A member of the American League for Peace and Democracy is a fellow who will fight to prove that he's fully as American as Constantine Oumansky.

Well, the King's here; where's Big Bill Thompson?

After that Palestine deal, the King better stay out of New York.

A leading Chicago hotel furnishes a store dummy in full dress to satisfy superstitious guests who will not participate in a party of 13. This might give the Democrats an idea in breaking down the third term superstition.

We admire the efficiency of the United States Army. While Congress was wrangling hotly over whether the Army should retire eight old, broken-down mules on a pension, Army officers quietly took the miserable animals out and shot them.

The Guinea Pig!

Oh, Ho, Ho— Those British Are So Funny!

Comics Stumped by John Bull's Humor

WASHINGTON, D. C. — Close up your show, Fred Allen. Pack up your gags and burn them, Walter O'Keefe. Go into the hamburg business, Tom Howard and George Sheldon. The British government can pack more comedy into one line than you fellows can put into seven shows.

You don't believe it, huh? All right, listen to this: A recent Associated Press story from Washington said: "Great Britain reiterated today her willingness to discuss settlement of her war debt to this country *'whenever circumstances are such as to warrant the hope that a satisfactory result might be reached'."*

That crack is provocative of the biggest bellylaugh of the current fun season—"whenever circumstances are such as to warrant the hope that a satisfactory result might be reached!"

Oh, ho, ho, ho! In other words, while the subject of entangling alliances is hot, the British take this way of making a deal with the simple, honest Yankees. "Whenever circumstances are such as to warrant the hope that a satisfactory result might be reached." Oh my, Mark Twain looks about as humorous as the fourth case of smallpox in a family of five compared with those British clowns.

If we want the war debt paid, all we have to do is indicate that the "circumstances are such," and that we will provide a "satisfactory result" by hooking up in another unholy alliance.

My, my—there just ain't anything those British can't think of in the line of a joke once they put their minds to it, as Ring Lardner would say.

Always a Catch, So Roosevelt Explains

WASHINGTON, D. C. — There's always a catch—just after settling comfortably back into the easy chair, eased by Mr. Roosevelt's assertion that "the cost of running the regular departments of government is less today than under my predecessor," he has to go and explain it all away.

The President assured us that his government was as economical as a sock darned 14 times. But then, upon questioning, he had to admit that all these savings pertained only to the "same functions" of government carried on under Hoover, and under this administration.

In other words, after scrapping most of Hoover's departments and starting a flock of his own, the President attempts to appear economical by citing the money saved by not running the departments that have been scrapped, without mentioning the money spent wastefully for new departments.

There's always a catch.

The only trouble is that Davie is still too close to the "14 points" to see one point clearly: there isn't any "just cause" for us in Europe, while America remains on this side of the Atlantic and Britain remains sprawled all over the globe.

But don't get excited, we just thought we'd tell you what Davie was saying while you happened to be around. Just because Davie Lorenz-Lawrence advocates it, doesn't mean we're going to war.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

U. S. World War Cost Would Operate Schools of Nation for 51 Years

By **HON. JOHN G. ALEXANDER**
Representative from Minnesota

ON APRIL 2, 1917, Woodrow Wilson delivered his famous message to the Congress of the United States of America, announcing our entrance into the World War. He assured us that the only object was to make the world safe for democracy. It turned out to be the end of democracy. However, our Allies took us at our word.

When our boys began landing on French soil, the French government made us pay duty on every can of beans, on every kind of food, on all the war supplies which were being shipped into France to help save the hides of 50-million Frenchmen who, it is said, "can't be wrong."

When the war came to an end, the French government tried to impose another duty on the same food and supplies which were left over before allowing us to bring them back home.

When it came time to send American soldiers across the pond, the Allies furnished some of the necessary boats. But they charged us from $140 to $180 for every man thus landed.

The United States even paid damages to France for the ground on which the American armies fought, and as the war progressed we paid also for property destroyed by the exploding of American shells.

While in France our soldiers dredged her harbors, built docks, and erected thousands of miles of telephone and telegraph lines — all of which remained as permanent improvements to the country.

And now, after 22 years of dickering, our former allies refuse to pay their honest war debts, leaving it to the people of the United States to carry this crushing tax burden. Only little Finland has done the right and honorable thing in this matter. She alone has kept her promise.

It is estimated that, by the time all of our war obligations are met, we will have made a total investment of no less than $150-billion to cover the sorrows of 1917 and 1918.

$150-billion would:

Pay the cost of running the public, the elementary, the high schools, the universities, and the colleges of the entire Nation for 51 years.

It would build nearly 6-million miles of paved roads, which is about nine times the mileage of all surfaced roads now in use in our good old United States of America.

It would construct 38¼-million six-room houses, or enough to house, with a new home, every family in the good old United States of America.

It would construct 48 hospitals costing $1-million each, in every one of the 3,073 counties of our good old United States of America.

It would pay the unemployment insurance premiums on all employees of business and industry of the United States for more than 300 years at the New York State rate.

Relief Ranks Are Fertile Field for Alien Philosophies

By **HON. J. WILLIAM DITTER**
Representative from Pennsylvania

REGARDLESS of the contempt demonstrated by high officials of the government for the intelligence of the electorate, it still remains a fact that this relief problem is one of the fundamental difficulties facing this nation. Bound up in it is the very welfare of our country itself. Involved in this question of relief is the future and the safety of our constitutional republic. Prevention of suffering, starvation, agony, and death is the first call upon every human conscience, in government and out.

No more fertile field for the planting of these alien philosophies could possibly be found than in the ranks of those who, through no fault of their own, are unable to find private employment, and who have been thus cynically made the temporary and helpless pawns of bureaucrats motivated by lust for political power. It is impossible to conceive of any condition that could be more dangerous to the perpetuity of free government and to the peace and welfare of this nation than that which has been produced by the cruel and wanton betrayal of these unemployed citizens. When great numbers of the people are forced to abandon their respect for government, their belief in its integrity, and their faith in the humanity of their fellow men, they immediately become prey to the persuasions of the most desperate enemies of constitutional government.

Can they be blamed? Where are they to turn? Where are they to fix their faith, when they are compelled to the conclusion that their government is false to its trust, that their misfortunes and their privations represent to those in power merely a means of subjecting them to a political servitude? Such conditions would well-nigh shatter the faith of a saint.

$120 a Person Is Crime Cost Yearly in U. S.

By **HON. JOSEPH J. O'BRIEN**
Representative from New York

I WISH TO CALL to your attention the following statement made by J. Edgar Hoover, director Federal Bureau of Investigation, United States Department of Justice, before the National Fifty Years in Business Club, Nashville, Tenn., May 20, 1939:

"I need make only passing reference to the extent of present-day lawlessness. Our annual crime bill is $15-billion, or about $120 for every man, woman, and child. This represents 400 per cent more than we annually spend for education; it equals our annual food bill, and exceeds the amount annually paid in Federal, State, and municipal taxes. Our homes and our lives are threatened by an army of lawlessness numbering over 4¾-million individuals.

"Every 22 seconds a serious crime is committed in our supposedly civilized land; every day, from dawn to dawn, 33 of our citizens are murdered. Last year nearly 1½-million serious crimes—such as murder, manslaughter, rape, robbery, burglary, and aggravated assault; and 13-million lesser crimes, such as frauds, forgeries, embezzlements, vice, and other assaults—were committed. To be even more realistic, this means that one offense occurred for every nine persons in America last year. It is most disturbing to realize that crime in some form or other will visit at least one out of every four homes this year unless drastic steps are taken to stay the onslaught of our forces of lawlessness. There are in America today over three and one-half times more criminals than there are students in our colleges and universities; for every school teacher in America there are nearly four and one-half criminals."

Curtailing Production Is Not the Way to Recovery

By **HON. JAMES C. OLIVER**
Representative from Maine

IN MY OPINION at least 95 per cent of our money comes into existence through the monopolistic banking and financial structure, privately owned, and this money creation is, furthermore, based on interest-bearing debt accumulation. I think that I can conservatively state that over 80 per cent of our money supply is directly created by an expansion of public or private debt. If this is true —and I believe that it is—then does it not follow that a contraction, restriction, or cessation of debt creation automatically decreases or destroys our money supply? Just as night follows day, so does it follow, in my humble thinking, that today we are not suffering from lack of confidence so much as we are facing a dead-end street in the process of expanding our debt structure; and if we are not up against the pin on further debt creation, then we should be, because additional debt will only make the collapse more severe when it comes. Money based on debt must always and inevitably cause bigger and better depressions.

We are on the wrong track and we are traveling in the wrong direction. Recovery for the American people cannot be reached in curtailing production or restricting labor, but rather must it be found in the direction of furnishing us with a money supply free of debt and based on production. Permanent jobs will not be found in the non-productive works of Government, but rather must be made possible by the mass consumption of our mass production of goods and services. Such a utilization of our plant structure cannot be secured with the vehicle of distribution running wild today on the over-expanded tires of credit-money inflation and stalled tomorrow on the flat tires of deflated debt money.

The expansion of credit or debt in our financial system is a pyramiding of interest charges and obligations which is usury in the sight of God. Usury is predicated on the idea of getting something for nothing. Today, and for the past three-quarters of a century, we have been sacrificed to our idolatry of this godless practice. It is only in the past decade that it has become so obvious and so burdensome. This principle of money interest on fictitious money loaned through a privately owned financial structure must be abolished if the profit system under a democratic process is to survive. Otherwise the nationalization of industry and agriculture will be established under a totalitarian control.

In these days financial or usurious piracy is negating production and forcing our productive process to walk the plank of involuntary unemployment and idleness. It is a most criminal indictment of our intelligence that we define unsalable commodities as surplus while millions of our people are confronted with the fear and the fact of want and misery. Because of our apathetic attitude toward financial usury we are forced to reason that the blessings of leisure and abundance are unemployment and surplus, or overproduction. Our present conception and application of the existing money system based on debt prohibits this nation and the entire world from enjoying and using the obvious physical plenty which is evidenced all around us, and as a direct result we starve because our money complex and superstition compel artificially and financially created scarcity.

The greatest monopoly in this nation and the world today is the absolute control which our banking structure and our huge credit or debt institutions have in their power to create credit or debt money. With my limited mental capacity, I can arrive at no other conclusion than that this power is the greatest of all, and we have farmed this out to private institutions either directly or indirectly.

First Duty Is to Government's Own Citizens

By **HON. HIRAM JOHNSON**
Senator from California

THE DEMOCRATIC governments who dictated the terms of peace must assume the responsibility for the dictatorships that are now in existence. In writing that treaty of peace they sowed the seeds for most of the troubles that are afflicting the world today. The Senate of the United States did not ratify that treaty, and so in a legal sense we have no responsibility for the terrible conditions resulting from it.

Statesmen of Europe realize that these adjustments that are now going on must be made. They are trying to make them peacefully. They have succeeded to some extent.

Of course, peaceful settlements cost something, and injustice will be done, and when economic conditions become very bad, persecutions of minorities will take place, and these things we deplore. But let us not forget they come as a result of the last war; and, in my opinion, if there is another world war, they will be multiplied many times more than we have experienced since the last war.

The last war increased the number of dictators. It increased the burden of the taxes upon the people. It reduced the standard of living in every country in the world, including our own.

In my opinion, if we should be so unfortunate as to have another world war, we would have still more dictators than we have now. We would have more debts and more misery and a lower standard of living than we have now. There would be more persecutions of minorities than now, and there would be less democracy for the protection of the people than now.

But we hear so many good people say that we cannot keep out of a war. One is almost led to believe they do not want to keep out. They say they are for peace, but they say we must go to war and do our duty and our share.

What is our first duty if not to our own people and to our own country?

Much as we deplore the troubles and persecutions and suffering in other countries, isn't it a fact that our first duty is to ourselves as citizens and that of our government to its citizens, is to protect our own interests first?

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Munitions Board Finally Acts Upon Helium Question

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The helium, over which Harold L. ("I hate Hitler") Ickes was so concerned a few months back, is now going to be available for foreign countries, but only in limited amounts. The National Munitions Control Board has decided to permit the export of a maximum of ½-million cubic feet a year of the non-inflammable gas to any foreign country. Germany, they said, would be permitted to buy helium on the same basis as the other countries.

This, however, will not greatly affect the German demand, which is for more than 18-million cubic feet of the gas annually, and for which the Germans have repeatedly applied. Because of the limited amount to be sold, authorities consider the export of this gas of no military consequence. It will probably be used by foreign countries which purchase it, for experimental purposes, or for hospital respiratory treatments.

Congressmen Advise W.P.A. Restrictions

WASHINGTON, D. C.—President Roosevelt has asked Congress to appropriate $1¾-billion for the administration of W.P.A. for 1940.

Ever since economy advocate Clifton Woodrum from Virginia has had his House committee investigating W.P.A. expenditures, there has been a general conviction in Washington among legislators that the W.P.A. needed restrictions. Col. F. C. Harrington, W.P.A. administrator, has joined Congress in this conviction and even offered a few suggestions of his own.

Harrington has recommended:

1. A direct Federal check on W.P.A. workers to eliminate "chiselers" from the relief rolls. Although this would increase the administration cost, the difference would be more than made up by the reduction in the relief rolls, Harrington believes.

2. Rotation of W.P.A. jobs to eliminate "career" workers. Anyone who has worked for W.P.A. for more than three years in a city where others eligible were kept off the rolls because of insufficient job quota, would be dropped in favor of the latter.

3. Apportionment of jobs among the states on basis of a definite, specific formula. This would do away with giving huge grants to "doubtful" states just before a political election. The formula is liable to cause controversy in the House, as is also the recommendation to earmark the Federal funds for the various W.P.A. projects.

Fortunately the committee which has been investigating the W.P.A. with its evidences of graft, bribery and extravagance, is in charge of the bill to appropriate W.P.A. funds for 1940.

The committee has already agreed to recommend some sort of a cut, probably several hundred million dollars, but without forcing the discharge of deserving workers. One of the most favored suggestions was that W.P.A. drop its theater, writers' and arts program, operated directly from Washington.

Also included in the recommendations to be submitted to Congress is one for a general, complete audit of the W.P.A. by the general accounting office of the United States government.

Neutrality Before Adjournment Is Plan

New Deal Proposes the Repeal of Arms Embargo Provision

WASHINGTON, D. C.—After saying little and doing nothing on the subject for more than a month, Congress is suddenly faced with a neutrality act that has the support of both the Executive Department and Congressional New Dealers. Furthermore, neutrality has been listed as one subject to be legislated before adjournment in July.

SECY. HULL

The new proposal is up for prompt consideration, royal visitors notwithstanding. It was first submitted by Secretary of State Cordell Hull in a letter to the House and Senate chairmen of the Foreign Affairs Committees.

Referring to the idea that isolation is a war preventive as "a disastrous delusion," the Secretary of State has proposed that Congress repeal the arms embargo clause in the existing neutrality law. Mr. Hull had refused to testify before the Senate Foreign Affairs Committee in open session when it was holding hearings on neutrality, but had held private conferences on the subject with Democratic members of the committee.

In addition to this request for repeal of the arms embargo, the Administration is asking that the Chief Executive be given still wider discretion in dealing with cases of war emergency.

The Secretary of State's message had hardly reached the House Foreign Affairs Committee before Representative Sol Bloom of New York, acting chairman, announced that he had introduced a bill incorporating the Hull suggestions, and that his committee would begin hearings on it immediately.

Sol Bloom Has a Bill Ready

The strategy is to have the bill taken up and passed in the House first, because of the strong opposition which is probable in the Senate. Senators sponsoring the proposals, believe that they could be incorporated into the Pittman cash-and-carry measure already pending.

The Senate, however, recognizes the Administration's attempt to take advantage of the more or less untroubled European situation by slipping through a less binding neutrality measure than it could if war appeared imminent, just as in 1937 it got the Congressional joint committee to pass the present law after assurances from the State Department that there would be no danger of war for two years.

Senator Claude Pepper of Florida, a Roosevelt neutralist, even remarked that "Now would be a good time to act because there is a lull in affairs abroad."

Senate Plots a Filibuster

But the opposition is not a silent minority. In the House, Representative Hamilton Fish of New York, ranking member of the Foreign Affairs Committee, is leading the objectors. The actual result of Secretary Hull's program," he says, "would be to make the United States the slaughterhouse and arsenal for arms, ammunition and implements of war for all nations, and particularly for Great Britain, which controls the seas."

REP. FISH

Neutrality supporters in the Senate have already begun to organize their "battalion of death" like the one they prepared at the time of the court-packing bill, to filibuster indefinitely rather than allow the repeal of what is left of American neutrality.

Expected to partake in the fight for neutrality are the same stalwarts who were active a few weeks ago against a policy of United States interference in foreign affairs, including Senators William E. Borah of Idaho, Hiram Johnson of California, Bennett Champ Clark of Missouri, Patrick McCarran of Nevada, and Senator Robert M. LaFollette of Wisconsin.

Senator Walter F. George of Georgia said that the Administration proposal would meet with such "stubborn opposition in the Senate as to foreclose action within the next 60 days." Senators Arthur H. Vandenberg of Michigan and Arthur Capper of Kansas have also joined the opposition against the sale of United States munitions to foreign nations in time of war.

SEN. GEORGE

The Bloom bill including the Hull suggestions provides for:

Repeal of the present arms embargo.

Permission for the President to define areas where American ships and American travelers may not go in time of war.

Prohibition of loans and credits to warring nations, except at the discretion of the President.

Refusal of permission to solicit funds in this country for belligerents named by the President.

Continuation of the existing munitions control board to regulate arms traffic.

The measure does nothing to improve our neutrality status. It merely increases the Executive's powers and repeals the arms embargo, which is one of the strongest safeguards of neutrality that we have.

Members of the House Foreign Affairs Committee who are now conducting hearings on the bill, and who have the power to discourage or promote passage of the bill by their action in committee, are Representatives:

Sam D. McReynolds of Tennessee (chairman); Sol Bloom of New York; Luther A. Johnson of Texas; John Kee of West Virginia; James P. Richards of South Carolina; Thomas Hennings of Missouri; James Shanley of Connecticut; Joseph Pfeifer of New York; Aaron Ford of Mississippi; Ed V. Izac of California; Robert L. Mouton of Louisiana; Robert Allen of Pennsylvania; Pete Jarman of Alabama; Laurence Arnold of Illinois; W. O. Burgin of North Carolina; Hamilton Fish of New York; Charles A. Eaton of New Jersey; George Tinkham of Massachusetts; Mrs. Edith Nourse Rogers of Massachusetts; Bruce Barton of New York; Robert Chiperfield of Illinois; Robert Corbett of Pennsylvania; John M. Vorys of Ohio; Foster Stearns of New Hampshire and A. C. Schiffler of West Virginia.

A Million Voters Write to Senate; Oppose U.S. War

WASHINGTON, D. C. — The American people do not want war. That is what more than 1-million of them wrote to their Senators in a period of 60 days. The general tenor of the letters was simple and to the point: "Keep America out of war!"

Senator Wagner of New York tops the list, with a total of more than 20,000 anti-war letters from his constituents. Senators Walsh of Massachusetts and Hiram Johnson of California also received thousands of letters of praise for their stand against foreign entanglements. Senator Walsh's office estimated that he had received more than 18,000 letters during the two months when neutrality was before the Senate committee.

Senator Lucas of Illinois has received between 10,000 and 15,000 letters protesting war entanglements. Senator Warren Barbour of New Jersey, who recently expressed the desire to have the United States help out the "democracies" of Great Britain and France, received 5,000 anti-war letters within a few days.

Block-Booking Bill To Come Before Senate

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The Senate just won't pass his bill to outlaw block-booking of motion pictures (S. 280), and Senator Neely of West Virginia has decided that it is time for action.

An identical bill to prohibit and prevent the trade practices known as block-booking and blind-selling in the leasing of motion picture films in interstate and foreign commerce, was passed by the Senate at the last session and defeated later in the House. The measure would permit a local theater to buy films which it desires without having to contract for undesirable pictures along with them.

The entire practice of block-booking is a means by which the motion picture monopoly of eight huge picture companies succeeds in burdening the public with a lot of inferior pictures that the industry could never dispose of on their own merit.

The bill has the support of many educational, religious and fraternal organizations, as well as the encouragement of most independent motion picture exhibitors.

"A blindfolded, crippled snail on crutches could have traveled farther in Purgatory in six seconds than this bill has traveled since it was referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce six months ago," the Senator said. He blamed Senator Alben Barkley of Kentucky, a member of the sub-committee in charge of the bill, for deliberately blocking it by missing committee meetings, and thus causing the absence of a quorum. Press accounts last fall reported that Senator Barkley had received campaign contributions from the movie interests when he was seeking renomination at the primary.

While Neely's protests did not bring the bill to the floor, they did secure the promise of Senator Wheeler to get the full Interstate Commerce Committee, of which he is chairman, to act on the bill.

The block-booking practice is only one of the many monopolistic acts which have impelled Attorney General Murphy and his Department of Justice to consider investigating the motion picture monopoly.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

(We aim to make this a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine—EDITOR.)

High School Junior Gives an Answer

TO THE EDITOR:

May I reply to the Superintendent of Schools who can't see the sense of *Anti-War Essay Contests.*

The youth of America is much more serious than ever before. Perhaps if you could listen in on our classroom discussions once, Mr. McArthur, you would see that. It isn't only Hitler we discuss, either. We argue and try to reason why on earth our President should suddenly wish to become the traffic "cop" of the world—why, in the name of all sense, he should ask Hitler to guarantee ten years' peace in Europe when our own national debt is increasing day by day.

We, the youth of America, have the right to express ourselves. We even should have the right to vote on whether or not there should be a war. After all, we are the ones who will suffer should there be one — both now and in the future. Maybe we could do a better job of it than the grown-ups are doing right now.

War is horrible. Men who have no malice toward their foreign brothers must fight because the leaders of their nations are so hateful. Why the present war scare? For ten years the international munition makers have been trying to build up Hitler—make a great militaristic figure of him. But Herr Hitler crosses them up by taking Austria and Czecho-Slovakia peacefully—merely walking into the country and calmly announcing the purpose of his mission. What do the war-mongers do? They've lost money, so they begin to strew their international propaganda, and they get a lot of assistance because it seems that a great many of the nations' leaders lend their help, even our own Mr. Roosevelt.

So you see, Mr. Editor, we boys and girls of America really can do something else besides jitterbug.

—A HIGH SCHOOL JUNIOR

Ferndale, Mich.

Unspiritual Schools

TO THE EDITOR:

If there are many more school teachers of the caliber of H. I. L. and especially in the higher branches of our educational system—and it is to be feared there are—small wonder that there has been some talk among Minnesota legislators now in session of passing a law to prevent the disquieting practice among collegians of gulping live goldfish. That is a fair sample of the beginning of the effects of teaching godlessness to the young.

If carried to its logical conclusion, the result will be the unspeakable debaucheries history tells of in Rome under Nero and his atheism.

The Board of Education of Elkhorn, Wisconsin, is certainly deserving of severe criticism for a dereliction of duty.

—FRANK T. HAMILTON

St. Paul, Minn.

Unkind Age Limits

TO THE EDITOR:

The setting of needless age limits in civil service applications has militated against men and women of 40 and over for positions in which youth is not essential and in which there is no need for extraordinary physical effort. The age question in these cases should be removed if applicants are otherwise physically and mentally qualified.

The adherence to this unfair and unwise hiring policy by civil service commissions or governmental agencies is setting an example for private employers of labor—employers who are already relegating millions of middle-aged human beings, at the peak of their productivity, to a life of bare subsistence on government relief.

Who will attempt to justify the unwarranted low-age stipulations of 35, 36, 40 and 41 in current examination announcements of the United States Civil Service Commission and the New York City Board of Education?

—EDWARD C. RYBICKI
Former Director of New York City's Free Employment

Albany, N. Y.

Fair Not So Fair

TO THE EDITOR:

Our so-called World's Fair is completely dominated by Communistic Jews.

The midway shows, which are owned and operated by lower East Side panderers—the same ones who used to own and operate the night clubs during prohibition—are without doubt the filthiest places imaginable. Of course, persons who are not listed as common criminals are fronting for the real owners of these nasty dumps.

One place, called "The Cuban Village," is still running wide open in spite of the fact that Grover Whalen has been flooded by complaints from decent people of all walks of life. Notwithstanding the fact that the Cuban government demanded that the place take down the Cuban flag and change its name, the gardeniaed Grover refused to do anything about it.

Some Jewish elements are making things so disagreeable for the Gentile concessioners and even the Jews who want to run decent shows, that many of the clean shows are arranging to move out.

There should be an important story in this dirty mess. I would suggest that SOCIAL JUSTICE expose it.

—DISGUSTED NEW YORKER

New York City

Keeping Neutral

TO THE EDITOR:

How did Spain remain neutral in the World War of 1914, maintaining its dignity and the sanctity of its ports?

The position of Spain (next door to France) must have been more precarious than is ours at present. It could not have been cowardice which kept her neutral, as cowards seldom retain their dignity, and often lose their possessions. It may have been some diplomatic or military strategy on the part of the Allies at that time, but little seems to be known about it. The fact alone that Spain did remain neutral stands out boldly.

Even within the past three years we find Spain rising in all dignity against the most powerful international propagandizing aggression of all time and defeating the most blood-thirsty assault ever made upon a nation.

The United States might well take a lesson from Spain. We have the chance now to be neutral and to retain our dignity and maintain the sanctity of our shores. Our only concern might be the need for able assistance, should the Red Horde rise up here. We may soon need an American Franco.

—A READER

Piqua, Ohio

African Readers

TO THE EDITOR:

It may interest readers to learn that SOCIAL JUSTICE reaches such a remote corner of the world as Zululand, on the fringe of white civilization, and that kind pen friends send me papers from many countries. I am, as it were, an onlooker viewing the game from afar.

What a bloody mess the earth is in! The widespread hate campaign is extending its influence, and I wonder if the power behind it all is the Mystical Body of Satan, working as in centuries past, through the international usurers. Their policy aims at world domination by finance with all sanctions of force subservient to their will, and with all the populations of the planet powerless to resist.

Millions have been spent and are being spent for an evil end via publicity and propaganda. The method is insidious, deceptive, misleading, but superficially it appears to be natural, genuine and honest. Thus, there are to be found, in the pulpits of some churches, on the platforms of politics, in the educational positions, in the key control of the press, the cinema and the radio men whose main qualification is the ability to propagate subtly a perversion of the truth—not by such crude methods as direct lies, but rather by the power of suppression joined with the art of insinuation.

Thank God SOCIAL JUSTICE and other papers are still able to carry on.

I am emphatically not a Communist, but I do think that we should concentrate our attack on the money power by attempting to regain control of the constitutional issuance of money and credit, and once more turn the money changers out of the temple.

—CHARLES B. MUSSARED

Zululand, S. Africa

Writer Suggests 'Jew York' as a New National Homeland

TO THE EDITOR:

When a pestilence is raging, medical men resort to isolating the germ-infested areas to prevent spread of the infection.

Then, why not isolate New York City? By so doing, perhaps the remainder of the country could be saved from the Bubonic plague of Jewish Communism, brought here by Red rats from abroad.

New York City already has isolated itself, economically and sociologically, from the rest of the United States. It is no longer American, but Oriental. The city is held, governed and dominated by alien-minded Jews who have no interest in Americans beyond the desire to exploit them. The few Gentiles who attain any prominence in the city do so by trucking to powerful Jewish interests.

The press of New York is so completely under the advertising control of Jews that a Christian priest from the "hinterland" may not be heard in New York City over a Hearst-owned radio station.

Radio stations in the great Jewish metropolis are owned by Jews 95 per cent.

Wall Street and the banks of New York, under the direction of Jewish international bankers, forestall any effort to bring an honest money system, free of usurious control, to the United States.

Let us forget about Palestine or Madagascar. Let the nations settle upon New York City—and a good portion of the state, north and west —as the "homeland of the Jews." The Jews themselves have already chosen it. Why not let them have it and fix up the deal along nice, clean-cut, legal lines.

The city and a fair amount of land for farming—say, as far west as Elmira and north to Kingston and Poughkeepsie—could be "roped off" by act of Congress from the remainder of the country. It might be called, for instance, "Jew York." It would be autonomous and so recognized by the Jewish-controlled League of Nations. It would be "free and twenty-one."

Of course, it would be a "democracy." Jews think a democracy is just dandy for their purposes. It would have its ambassador at Washington and the United States would be properly represented in "Jew York." There might even be some degree of international trade—unless the Jews boycotted us.

The refugee problem could be easily handled. Here in Chicago we have 400,000 Jews we will swap for 200,000 Brooklyn Irish. We'll give two for one. All other cities could do the same.

Finally, the Jews would all be back home in "Jew York" and the Gentiles would also be among their own.

By all means, let us do this at once. Write to your Senator and Representative today. America for Americans, and "Jew York" for the Jews!

It looks to me like the only solution—and they can keep Grover Whalen.

J. K. LENIHAN

Chicago, Ill.

Red Star On Top

TO THE EDITOR:

On May 17th the Russian Pavilion at the New York World's Fair was dedicated in pompous style—with Mayor LaGuardia playing a prominent role in the ceremonies. Fiorello heaped praises upon the Soviets and had the audacity to mention the Bolshevik revolution in the same breath with our War of Independence of 1776. He said that our own government was also the outcome of a "bloody revolution" and it was "not very popular with the dynasties of Europe at the time."

Protesting against such remarks of a public official at this Russian dedication was the eminent Dr. Edward Lodge Curran, speaking at the Temple of Religion. Father Curran justly lamented that the most commanding position in the entire foreign section is that of the towering structure of the Reds. He said: " . . . *the most prominent object of this World's Fair, by day and by night, is the red star at the top of the building of Soviet Russia—which stands, in theory and in practice, for the destruction of the right of God and the rights of humanity.*

The star, he went on, "which should not have been the most outstanding sight in the sky of this World's Fair, brings to mind the slogan of the Russian Union of Militant Atheists, a subsidized and subordinate unit in Soviet officialdom, which says: 'The fight against God is the fight for socialism'."

Father Curran concluded by admonishing that the Fair "must permit no exhibition on its ground or in its concessions which is offensive to decency and to morality."

Congratulations, Dr. Curran! We are wholeheartedly in sympathy with your views.

—E. WILSON

Newark, N. J.

Concerning Mr. Eden

TO THE EDITOR:

Perusing an article dated Tuesday, May 16th in the *Chicago Daily Times* entitled *"Behind World Headlines,"* I was amazed at the perfidious prattle of a Mr. Pflaum.

His ideas are as distorted as a corkscrew. His article concerning Anthony Eden, Joseph Stalin and Neville Chamberlain is decidedly expressive of a superficial understanding of world affairs.

The verbal bouquets thrown at Anthony Eden are nothing short of ridiculous. Eden is a war-monger and a merchandiser of murder. Were he in Chamberlain's office, the situation would be more precarious than it has ever been. The fact that he had talked privately with murderous Joe Stalin doesn't alter things in his favor.

Eden is presented by Pflaum as a sincere, hard-working White Knight of British Conservatism. This is sufficient to provoke raucous laughter. Conservatism has long since lost its original meaning. Eden has done plenty to drive nations to war; but a better man, in the person of Neville Chamberlain, stands in his way.

JOHN M. FORD

Chicago, Ill.

Insulting Remarks

TO THE EDITOR:

In response to "The Gadfly" smear of Father Coughlin in *The Catholic Worker* of May, 1939, I addressed the following letter to that publication:

" . . . You are very insulting when you make the broad statement that Father Coughlin's listeners are, for the most part, uninformed and lacking in discernment. That I take as a personal insult, not only for myself but for my friends and relatives and any whom I might have encouraged to listen to his program.

"It surprised me greatly that you should take this stand, when you know so well the conditions in this country. Reading the articles in your paper, I realize that even you can't plead ignorance of our national state of affairs.

"We do not belong to the poor class, but the great middle class. Have you any idea what it means to have your parents harassed with worry, driven to distraction, because they can't make ends meet and are sacrificing everything that they have worked hard for all their lives? Would you take the only champion we have from us? By such articles, you do Father Coughlin irreparable harm, but perhaps that is your aim. Why shouldn't we know the truth about Semitism as well as other current problems? You would have no problem whatever in answering the Jews that accosted you, had you really been acquainted with Father Coughlin's talks. He was most careful to make a distinction between the religious and the atheist. If anyone is misinformed, I believe it is yourself."

—MARGARET E. JOACHIM

Elgin, Ill.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Lewis Tightens Grip on Labor

Secures Union Contract from Anthracite Operators

NEW YORK, N. Y.—Having subdued the Appalachian soft coal operators after a walkout that cost 346,000 miners more than a month's pay, John L. Lewis last week tightened his dictatorial grip on the nation's coal workers. He wrangled a union shop contract from Pennsylvania hard coal operators, who employ 100,000 men.

In doing so he removed, temporarily at least, the last serious threat to his control of labor in the coal industry, thereby strengthening also his Congress of Industrial Organizations.

The "union shop" secured by Lewis is tantamount to a "closed shop." Hereafter any man who obtains work in a mine covered by the contract must join Lewis' United Mine Workers. He must pay tribute to Lewis in the form of regular dues taken from his meagre earnings—earnings often insufficient to maintain his wife and children in any degree of comfort; he must subject himself to arbitrary special assessments in addition to regular dues, the assessments being used to increase Lewis' insatiable lust for greater labor and political power.

He must do that or he can try to find another job in a country where 11½-million other persons are jobless.

Mistrusting Lewis' proclaimed motives and disgusted with his iron-hand rule, thousands of miners have little use for him or his United Mine Workers Union. Many of them joined the American Federation of Labor's Progressive Miners of America.

Their strength grew until they threatened Lewis' domination of coal labor. They preferred belonging to an American organization which provides them a voice in their union's policies—the right to determine whether they should strike at the slightest provocation.

So Mr. Lewis applied all the pressure his brawny, dictatorial arm could generate. He threw more than 300,000 industrious miners out of work in the soft coal fields in order to ward off the threat to his power. His ruthless tactics succeeded—succeeded so well that last week he was able to add the scalps of anthracite operators and 100,000 more miners to his labor belt.

New York Dairymen Need Cost of Production

ALBANY, N. Y.—The need for a guaranteed cost-of-production price to farmers is well demonstrated by the recent price decline in the New York state milk market.

Holton V. Noyes, Commissioner of Agriculture and Markets, has announced that dairymen would receive only 95 cents to $1.05 a hundred pounds for their April milk.

"Such prices are ruinous to the entire farming industry of this state and are unjustified, regardless of the surplus which may be available," he said.

He attributed present inadequate prices largely to court invalidation of Federal and state marketing regulations which were in effect between September, 1938, and February of this year. Prices farmers received during that period were generally satisfactory, he declared, but they fell almost immediately after suspension of the marketing orders.

"At the present time the average price received by producers who deliver to milk shipping stations probably is lower than could be obtained if the milk were converted into cheese, butter or condensed milk," Noyes revealed.

Were the regulations still in effect, he asserted, farmers would be receiving about $1.50 a hundred pounds.

Obviously there must be some government control of agriculture by which the farmer will be assured of a fair price for his products—a price covering the cost of production, plus a reasonable profit.

A bill embodying this principle is pending in Congress, but proponents of the measure cannot muster enough support to push it through to enactment.

Harry Bridges Ouster Case Resumes June 26

MR. BRIDGES

See Little Likelihood of Red Leader's Deportation; Denies Communist Tie-Up

WASHINGTON, D. C. — With Dean James M. Landis of Harvard Law School presiding, the Immigration Department on June 26 will resume deportation proceedings against West Coast C.I.O. Director Harry Bridges, Australian-born radical.

Bridges is charged with being a member of the Communist Party and an advocate of forceful overthrow of the United States government. Instigator of violence and bloodshed in the San Francisco general strike of 1934, Bridges denies both charges and has declared his intention of becoming a United States citizen.

Evidence disclosing his affiliation with Communism has been presented to the House of Representatives and to the Labor Department.

Yet Madame Perkins, who, as Labor Secretary also heads the Immigration Department, said recently that she doubted whether there was sufficient evidence to warrant deportation

In view of her apathy toward ridding the nation of alien Red troublemakers, it is not unlikely that the hearings simply will be a perfunctory matter of going through the motions for the sake of the record

Also in Mr. Bridges' favor is the recent decision of the United States Supreme Court in the Joseph Strecker case There the court ruled that "former membership" in the Communist Party is not ground for expulsion from the country

In other words, the government must prove conclusively that Bridges was a Communist Party member at the time original proceedings began. It matters not whether he resigned membership as an expedient, assuming of course, that he did resign.

Madame Perkins' attitude is obvious. By her long postponement of the case she has demonstrated that she has little desire to prove Bridges' Red tie-up—and less desire to deport him

The Supreme Court ruling will provide a convenient "out" for the Madame. She can doubtless effect dismissal of the deportation charges.

Then, with his American citizenship papers on the way, Bridges will be free to pursue his mob-stirring, Red propaganda under the protection of the United States Constitution which he seeks to destroy.

any union. Nor may an employer be compelled by intimidation to submit to the dictates of power-drunk union leaders, who too frequently bear the red label of Communism.

Surely, the outlawing of sit-down strikes is not an encroachment upon the rights of workers. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that weapon illegal. It is recognized by practically everyone but C.I.O. leaders as an unlawful seizure of another's property

In addition to forbidding sit-downs the measure orders the state labor board to respect craft unions' rights to decide for themselves who shall be their collective bargaining agent. Obviously this is a blow to the C.I.O., which attempts and pretends to represent all workers in many plants where craft unions oppose C.I.O. representation.

Should Affect Only Racketeers and Reds

The bill would prohibit the deduction of union dues from an employe's pay check unless the employe individually and specifically would approve such deduction.

This provision, like the others, is not directed at bona fide labor organizations which promote the welfare of workers and co-operate with industry in the interest both of employer and employe. But it is intended to curb the activities of racketeers who pose as friends of labor while exploiting workers for their own aggrandizement. It also seeks to squelch the growing influence of radical hate-stirring leaders bent on recruiting American labor to the ranks of Communism.

Pennsylvania Legislature Passes Bill Prohibiting Union Violence

Would Not Harm Bona Fide Labor Groups: Hits Reds, Racketeers

HARRISBURG, PA. — Both the C.I.O. and the A.F.L. have condemned the bill, passed last week by the Pennsylvania state legislature, which outlaws sit-down strikes and imposes other restrictions interpreted by union leaders as oppressive.

Granted that the bill does curb certain popular union practices, it is difficult to see where rank and file workers are deprived of any rights due them under law or ethics.

The measure surely cannot be compared to the reactionary act passed in Oregon last November.

Pennsylvania is only one of several states which have risen to defend themselves against unwarranted strikes and violence that victimizes workers as well as employers. Minnesota, Missouri and Wisconsin also have passed restrictive labor laws in the last few months.

If anyone is to blame for these measures it is leaders of the John L. Lewis and Harry Bridges school of rule by force and bloodshed. With their deliberately conceived riots and general strikes, such leaders have so discredited themselves in the eyes of the public that reaction was a natural sequel.

Radical Agitators Cause Reactionary Measures

Now they cry that labor is being persecuted. Labor *is* being persecuted —by its own leaders, whose irresponsible direction is doing the laboring man more harm than good.

Examination of the Pennsylvania bill fails to reveal any interference with labor's right to organize, to bargain collectively through an agency of its own choosing, or to enjoy any other right guaranteed by the law of the land.

On the contrary the measure seeks to protect the worker both from employer domination and from predatory union leaders who would force him to join organizations against his will.

Coercion of employes by employers is forbidden. Under provisions of the bill an employer, another employe, a union leader or anyone else may not forbid or force an employe to join

Administration Goes Deeper in Debt to Control Production

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Although the United States is $40-billion in debt, and nearly one-third of its population is unable to buy adequate food and clothing, the government in the last 10 months spent more than $½-billion in crop control subsidies.

According to figures released last week by the Agricultural Adjustments Administration, subsidy expenditures included $120,893,961 for cotton adjustment payments on the 1937 crop; $65,148,766 in payments on the 1937 and 1938 sugar crops; and $3,667,516 in parity payments on 1938 crops. Most of the remainder was used for soil conservation payments.

The Roosevelt Administration thus continues its ridiculous policy of paying farmers to curtail production of food and other commodities on the fallacious theory that over-production has created surpluses. Meanwhile millions of Americans are forced to eke out an existence without sufficient supplies of the very products being curtailed. If these people had purchasing power to meet their needs, no surpluses would exist.

Their purchases would enrich farmers, stimulate industry and keep the wheels of prosperity rolling at a constant speed.

But the New Dealers pursue an opposite course. They seek to promote prosperity by an economy of scarcity, namely limitation of crops which constitute the real wealth of the nation.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Shrine Host to Ukrainians on July 4th

JULY 4TH will be the 950th anniversary of Christianity in Ukraine.

On that day the Ukrainian colony of Michigan will commemorate the christening of its people in Kiev by Prince Vlademir the Great.

This celebration will be held at the Shrine of the Little Flower in Royal Oak, Michigan.

This great religious holiday will also be of Ukrainian national character and the Ukrainian manifesto, according to the Ukrainian publication, *Amerika* of Philadelphia, Pa., will be proclaimed by the Rev. Dr. Stephen Tuchansky, pastor of the Ukrainian Greek Catholic Church in Hamtramck, Michigan.

It is expected that more than 50 priests will participate, not only Ukrainians but others also, attended by a choir of 500 singers.

It is expected that Ukrainian Americans in great numbers will turn out for this celebration, availing themselves of this opportunity to show their devotion for the land of their birth as well as to prove to the United States and to the world their worthiness for aid and encouragement in establishing a free Ukraine.

Summer Throngs to Visit Shrine

ALTHOUGH the season has hardly begun, great numbers of tourists and pilgrims have already visited the Shrine of the Little Flower this Spring. Groups of school students, and graduates from colleges and universities on their way home for vacations, as well as immense week-end throngs, are visiting the sacred precincts. Sunday after Sunday witnesses a steady increase in visitors from every state and city of the nation.

Shrine Tour Dates

June 11 — Buffalo Syracuse, Rochester, Eastern New York.

June 18 — Columbus, Delaware, Marion, Ohio.

June 25 — Special Chicago Tour.

July 2-3-4 — New England States.

July 9 — Eastern Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Maryland, Baltimore, New Jersey, Washington, D. C.

July 16 — Second Tour from Eastern New York, Rochester, Buffalo.

July 23 — Pittsburgh, Altoona, Southern Ohio, Cincinnati, Dayton, Springfield, Kentucky.

August 6 — Cleveland, Akron.

August 13—Toledo, Troy, Piqua, Sidney.

August 20 — Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota.

Groups from cities and villages of western New York, for example, are guests in Royal Oak over this current week-end. These include a tour from the City of Rochester in charge of Rudolph Schwenzer and Urban Kress.

Reservations for the tour from western Ohio are reported coming in fast. This tour is to include the cities of Columbus, Delaware and Marion, Ohio.

Another interesting pilgrimage with many of Father Coughlin's friends from the City of Chicago will find its way to Royal Oak on June 25th.



The League of the Little Flower Requests You to pray for the Sick and the Dead

"I beseech you therefore, brethren, through our Lord Jesus Christ and by the charity of the Holy Ghost, that you help me in your prayers for me to God." ROMANS 15:30

ILL

ARIZONA
Tuscon
Mr. Joseph Ryan

CALIFORNIA
Ocean Park
Mrs. Mary Miller

CONNECTICUT
Hartford
Mrs. Rose Marron
Noroton
Mr. John Meehan

ILLINOIS
Chicago
Miss Florence Patterson

MASSACHUSETTS
S. Boston
Helen Brady
Webster
Edward Motyka

MINNESOTA
Stillwater
Mr. and Mrs. Henry Schraeder

MISSOURI
St. Charles
Della Dickherber

NEW YORK
New York
Walter LeStrange
Valhalla
Mrs. Mary Mitchell

PENNSYLVANIA
Philadelphia
Marie Madden

VERMONT
Montgomery Center
Mrs. Peter Mayhew
Richfield
Mrs. John Myott

DECEASED

CONNECTICUT
New Britain
James Spurvey

MASSACHUSETTS
E. Boston
Emanuel Silver
Oak Bluffs
Mrs. W. V. Ripley
Roxbury
E. Sullivan
Taunton
Mary E. McGlynn
Waltham
Thomas J. Fahey

MICHIGAN
Detroit
Mr. Baggett
James and Susan Guilford
Saginaw
Mary E. Flindt

MISSOURI
Kansas City
Mrs. Mary Estelle Taylor

NEW YORK
Brooklyn
Helen Jung
Buffalo
Mr. J. Nistler
New York
McCann Family
Mulligan Family

OHIO
Cincinnati
Mr. Bossong
Cleveland
John Byrne
Lima
Mrs. Emma J. Werner

PENNSYLVANIA
Freeland
John and Michael Denion

WISCONSIN
Abbotsford
Mrs. Mary McCabe

FOREIGN
CANADA
Niagara Falls
Mrs. Henry Durliat

Divine Heart of Jesus, convert sinners, save the dying, deliver the holy souls from purgatory, (Indulgence of 300 days, every time. Pius X, Nov. 6, 1906.)

You are invited to join the League of the Little Flower.

BENEFITS: 1. You are remembered every morning at mass at the Shrine of the Little Flower.

2. You are remembered every morning at a special mass said for our intentions at the Tomb of Christ near Jerusalem.

OBLIGATION: Each day say the Lord's Prayer for the intention of the Little Flower. Her intention is to lead souls back to God.

PRIVILEGES: You are privileged to enclose the names of the deceased members of your family. They will be remembered each day at the Sacrifice of the Mass.

FEES: Each living member is requested to contribute one dollar a year.

The money derived from this will be used to help Father Coughlin promote his religious activities.

Mail your membership dues to Father Coughlin at Royal Oak, Michigan.

Your prayers are earnestly solicited for our sick friends and for the faithful departed who have recently passed to their reward.

Wishing to take part in the activities of the League of the Little Flower, I hereby make application to become one of its members.

Herewith is enclosed_____dollar(s) as membership fee for the Radio League of the Little Flower for the person(s) listed below:

*Name*_____

*Street*_____

*City and State*_____

MARION, DELAWARE
COLUMBUS, OHIO
JUNE 18, 1939
SPECIAL TOUR
Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Co.
Columbus, Round Trip ... $3.50
Delaware, Round Trip ... $3.00
Marion, Round Trip ... $2.75

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS DAY
June 25, 1939
Interesting Tour to
ROYAL OAK, MICH.
Leave Chicago at 7:15 A.M.
Daylight Saving Time
MARNELL TRAVEL SERVICE
104 South Clark St.
105 West Monroe St.
Chicago, Ill.

Baltimore - Cumberland
Washington, D. C.
3rd Annual
Official Pilgrimage
to the
Shrine of the Little Flower
July 8-9-10

LOW FARE $14.75. Round Trip. (Staterooms at additional cost.)

Via B. & O. R.R. to Cleveland and steamer from Cleveland to Detroit (S.S. *City of Cleveland*) includes Taxi service in Cleveland, and Motor coach transfer from pier in Detroit to Royal Oak.

Also All-Expense Tour Plan.

For full information and reservations apply to Baltimore Social Tours, 328 Park Ave., Baltimore, Md., telephone Vernon 5269-J, office hours 12 noon to 5 P. M., evening 7:30 to 10 P. M.

Washingtonians apply to Mrs. Cora Luce, 3630 13th St., N. E., Washington, D. C., phone Dupont 3872.

All reservations must be made by July 6th, accompanied by a $5.00 deposit.

Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Delaware and Maryland
Third Annual Pilgrimage to
THE SHRINE OF THE LITTLE FLOWER
Saturday, July 8
Including Visit of GREENFIELD VILLAGE and Edison Institute
LOW FARE $14.75 ROUND TRIP
Via Baltimore and Ohio Railroad to Cleveland
and STEAMER—Cleveland to Detroit
(S.S. CITY OF CLEVELAND)
Includes taxi in Cleveland and motor-coach transfer from pier in Detroit direct to The Shrine of the Little Flower.
For reservations on this PILGRIMAGE apply to either of the following:
Floyd M. Nellett, Philadelphia, Pa. 1242 E. Oxford St., PENnypacker 1902
Mrs. T. Geraghty, Philadelphia, Pa. 2812 Poplar St., FREmont 4860
or
The Baltimore and Ohio R. R. Co.
151 S. Broad St., Philadelphia, Pa., PENnypacker 1902
AIR-CONDITIONED coaches. SPECIAL TRAIN!
A $5.00 deposit will hold your reservation. Don't put it off. Accommodations are limited and this is the ONLY OFFICIAL TOUR from this Section.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



Americans RALLY TO FATHER COUGHLIN

Upward rises the vast radio audience of Father Coughlin—and also upward goes the number of stations which see the advantage of joining his network.

Co-operating with Royal Oak, Station WHIP, of Hammond, Indiana, is now covering the City of Chicago with a full hour of SOCIAL JUSTICE broadcasting six days a week.

Station WHBI, of Newark, New Jersey, will pour 25 spot announcements for SOCIAL JUSTICE into the New York metropolitan district every Sunday and Monday.

We expect in next week's issue to announce the addition of a new and powerful station in the New York territory.

FOR EVERY UN-AMERICAN STATION WHICH PERMITS ITSELF TO BE INTIMIDATED BY BOYCOTT—THERE IS AN AMERICAN STATION TO TAKE ITS PLACE!

The trend of public opinion is turning definitely against international and Bolshevistic interests who are seeking to silence the Voice from Royal Oak.

AMERICA IS WAKING TO THE FACT THAT FATHER COUGHLIN IS RIGHT!

By September of this year, we hope to send the voice of Father Coughlin to every state of the Union. We expect within the next three months to have the message of true American social justice sent from the Shrine of the Little Flower into every radio-owning home.

With the addition of radio stations comes additional expense. Father Coughlin MUST depend upon the willing contributions of his listeners and of SOCIAL JUSTICE readers for his radio revenue.

He has no support other than that of Americans who believe in his principles.

More than fifty thousand dollars are needed immediately to assure performance of contracts with new stations and with old stations which are increasing their time allowances.

This money must be raised by the contributions of those who can afford only $1, $2, $3, $5 or from persons who can easily send $10, $20, $50 or $100.

IT MUST BE RAISED AT ONCE!

Summer months are difficult. They are periods of worry to Father Coughlin. He must enter into radio contracts immediately they arise. RADIO TIME WON'T WAIT!

Therefore, do your part—and do it now. We are preparing for the greatest radio season ever conducted by an American citizen. You now reading these lines are an integral part of this mammoth campaign to save our country from the wolves.

Your contribution NOW means you are really in the fight.

So—may we depend upon it?

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan.

I wish to contribute to the 1939 Summer Broadcasting Fund so that Father Coughlin may continue to spread principles of genuine Americanism.

I hereby pledge the sum of $ _____ which I am enclosing herewith or which I will send in two equal monthly remittances on or before June 20 and on or before July 20.

My Name Is _____

My Address Is _____

City or Town _____ State _____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

U.S. ASKS PROGRAM OF 'ONE-TERM' VANDENBERG

WHAT HE STANDS FOR IS JUST AS IMPORTANT AS WHAT HE'S AGAINST

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The Republicans of Michigan and the United States have named Senator Arthur H. Vandenberg as their number one choice for the presidential candidacy in 1940.

The Michigan Senator has been an activist in the foremost ranks of anti-New Dealers for the past six years. Considered in the Republican conclaves of 1936, his continuing influence has won him first place among the leaders of his party.

"He manned the trenches when there were few others on the firing line," said the group of Congressmen who publicly proposed his selection. "He has been the focus of opposition to the present administration."

The Vandenberg record in Congress is well known. The Senator has been a leader on the Senate Commerce, Finance, Foreign Relations and Rules committees. He has been adamant in his opposition to the Roosevelt policies on neutrality and foreign relations. His latest successful opposition was against the costly and impractical Florida Ship Canal, which like Passamaquoddy, its New England counterpart, was nothing more than a political boondoggling project. He has stood consistently for business relief from excessive taxation, and there is no denying that the Vandenberg influence was greatly responsible for holding the Social Security tax down to its present rate, and for revealing the expenditures of Social Security funds by the United States treasury.

But—is the promotion of Vandenberg for President merely the substitution of a "focus of opposition" for the present administration? Is it a Republican invitation for America once more to vote "no" — *against* something or somebody?

Being a Republican is not virtuous in itself, any more than is it criminal *per se* to be a New Dealer. Basically, the two are supposed to be antithetical, but one is not necessarily any better than the other.

Until Senator Vandenberg himself announces his intention to run for the office and appeals to the American voters for support, it is perhaps unfair to demand his position on the social and economic status of the nation. But it is not unjust to inquire of his "promoters" just what is the situation.

Is their candidate a proponent of recovery as well as an opponent of the present administrative chaos?

Does he stand for *production at a profit for the farmer, a living annual wage for labor, an honest money system,* a reasonable attitude towards business, a positive program of action?

Is he unafraid to do unpopular things if they are necessary for the nation's well-being?

Does he actually have a definite prescription for recovery?

Is he a believer in the old *laissez-faire* system of rugged individualism, or does he recognize that somewhere, about half-way between modern capitalism and New Deal socialism, there is a way to lead America out of the ten-year-old depression?

Senator Vandenberg has suggested that the Republican candidate for 1940 be pledged to a promise of not seeking re-election at the end of the four-year term. If the candidate in question is a member of the Hooverite school of do-nothingism, one term is more than enough for all of us.

If he has a program of genuine recovery and acts to carry it out, he could easily accomplish it in four years. Either way, Mr. Vandenberg's four-year term idea is a good one.

One term or two, however, it remains for his campaigners to explain where their candidate will stand.



ARTHUR H. VANDENBERG
U. S. SENATOR FROM MICHIGAN

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED